

TSO REMIT implementation

Process and status

Kathrine Nygaard Stannov
Subject Manager Transparency

Maria Gerova
Transparency Adviser



Registration status



Registration status

Registration process	TSOs status
Market participant registration	Completed by all TSOs
RRM registration	38 TSOs selected the option to become RRM
	26 TSOs registered as RRM on 7 April 2016
	32 TSOs registered as RRM in December 2016
	4 TSOs in process of RRM registration in December 2016
TSOs that will use third parties RRM for their REMIT reporting	11 TSOs are using the services of third party RRM for the REMIT reporting of their transactional and fundamental data



Main issues

A green L-shaped graphic consisting of two thick bars meeting at a right angle in the top-left corner.

Main REMIT implementation problems

RRM registration process

- > Issues with interpretation of ACER RRM requirements
- > Power of Attorney issues
- > Attestation documentation development
- > Technical problems
 - Certificate issues
 - Interface implementation issues
 - Encryption/decryption issues
 - Issues related to access to ARIS TST and PRD systems
 - Testing issues



Main REMIT implementation problems

Testing and reporting process

- > XSD schema related issues
 - Meaning of the schema field issues
 - Mandatory fields for which data could not be provided
 - Network users (MP) identification issues
 - Unmatched and matched orders reporting
 - Currency issue
 - Backloading issue

```
</xs:simpleType>
<xs:simpleType name="PartyType-base" sawsdl:modelReference="http://eassee-gas.eu/Edigas/2014/edigas-schema#String">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
    <xs:maxLength value="16"/>
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
<xs:simpleType>
<xs:complexType name="PartyType" sawsdl:modelReference="http://eassee-gas.eu/Edigas/2014/edigas-schema#String">
  <xs:simpleContent>
    <xs:extension base="PartyType-base">
      <xs:attribute name="codingScheme" type="EicCodingSchemeTypeList" use="required"/>
    </xs:extension>
  </xs:simpleContent>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:complexType name="Internal_PartyType" sawsdl:modelReference="http://eassee-gas.eu/Edigas/2014/edigas-schema#String">
  <xs:simpleContent>
    <xs:extension base="PartyType-base">
      <xs:attribute name="codingScheme" type="EicTsoAcerCodingSchemeTypeList" use="required"/>
    </xs:extension>
  </xs:simpleContent>
</xs:complexType>
```




Contingency plan activation

Delays in the reporting

Some TSOs activated ACER Contingency plan scenarios for delay of their data reporting

- > For the full scope of their REMIT reporting
- > Partially - only for certain data types





What we have done?



ENTSOG activities




REMIT related activities

- > ENTSOG – TSOs – ACER REMIT Implementation discussion forum
- > Participation to ACER REMIT forums (WG, roundtables, webinars)
 - REMIT Expert group
 - Roundtable for Inside Information Disclosure
 - RRM workshop, physical meetings and webinars
- > Proposal for REMIT reporting schema changes in January 2016
- > Proposal for REMIT reporting schema changes in November 2016
- > Proposal for UMMs data collection schema changes in April 2016
- > Participation to the ACER EREMP tool Public consultation with particular proposals
- > Support to ACER Team in the development of position on REMIT and UMM related stakeholder questions



ENTSOG-TSOs-ACER discussion forum





Process description



Process description

- > TSO REMIT Implementation Task Force group was formed within ENTSOG TRA WG
- > Discussion sessions were initiated between ACER, ENTSOG TRA Area team and TSO REMIT Implementation TF in November 2015
- > Technical sessions with participation of ACER CSD and service provider were established in January 2016
- > 10 discussion sessions with ACER team were performed, 9 of them before 7 April 2016

Outcome

- > Internal document with the answers of all questions discussed with ACER (currently **49** questions). Limited set of these questions have been published by the Agency
- > Internal document merging the most frequently asked technical questions submitted to ENSTOG (currently **81** questions)
- > Internal document with proposals for workaround solution on some of the schema issues



Process description



Challenges

- > ACER operational team is not fully empowered to provide Agency's position. As a result, the final ACER position on some issues strongly deviates compared to what was agreed during the discussion sessions
- > Delay or absence of publication of the questions and answers discussed. Not clear view on the final and official ACER position
- > Lack of response from ACER Team on ENTSOG Team queries and proposals
- > ENTSOG Team was forced to complete a lot of tasks within the scope of ACER responsibilities
- > Failed attempt at Q1 2016 for REMIT schema changes, although all the needed data, including Edig@s schema draft, were provided from our side
- > Lost fight on the so called "currency issue"





Outcome



TSO REMIT implementation process



Outcomes

- > 91 % of the TSOs ready and with ongoing REMIT reporting
- > Deep involvement and strong support from TSOs' side
- > Good cooperation and understanding from ACER REMIT Team up to 7 April 2016





Thank You for Your Attention

Kathrine Stannov
Subject Manager Transparency

Maria Gerova
Transparency Adviser

ENTSOG -- European Network of Transmission System Operators for Gas
Avenue de Cortenbergh 100, B-1000 Brussels

EML: Kathrine.Stannov@entsog.eu Maria.Gerova@entsog.eu
WWW: www.entsog.eu