# **TYNDP 2018** Scenario Report

Main report - Draft edition



European Network of Transmission System Operators for Electricity

## Contents

Foreword	3
1. Introduction	4
2. Scenario Description And Storylines	6
2.1. Scenario GLOBAL CLIMATE ACTION	10
2.2. Scenario SUSTAINABLE TRANSITION	11
2.3. Scenario DISTRIBUTED GENERATION	12
3. Scenario Results	13
3.1. Demand	13
3.2. Supply	17
3.3. Increase Of Renewable Energy Sources	19
3.4. Reduction In CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions	21
3.5. Electricity Marginal Cost	23
3.6. Benchmarking	24
4. Stakeholder Process, Feedback And How This Shaped	
The Scenarios	26
5. Scenario Development Methodology	28
5.1. Changes And Improvements From TYNDP 2016/2017 Scenarios	28
5.1.1. ENTSO-E TYNDP 16 Scenarios	28
5.1.2. ENTSOG TYNDP 17 Scenarios	29
5.1.3. Improvements For TYNDP 18	30
5.2. Main Methodology Elements	32
5.2.1. Electricity Sector Methodology Elements	32
5.2.2. Gas Sector Methodology Elements	34
5.3. Scenario Fuel And Carbon Price Assumptions	35
6. Next Steps	36

## Foreword

## It is with great pleasure that the two ENTSOs release this Scenario Report.

Our infrastructure is the backbone for the delivery of gas and electricity to citizens across all of the EU. It aims to connect countries and ensure secure, competitive and sustainable access to energy for customers throughout the year. As the EU voluntarily undertakes the energy transition, this infrastructure will have a key role to play in supporting the uptake of new technologies and meeting ambitious decarbonisation challenges. The TYNDPs are here to assess the requirements for these aims, in terms of the infrastructure. The starting point for that is to define scenarios depicting the future which the EU strives to achieve.

For the first time, both ENTSOs have pooled efforts to develop a common set of scenarios, building on their combined expertise and modelling capabilities as well as on the input received from dozens of stakeholders from the industry, NGOs, National Regulatory Authorities and Member States. This co-development approach results in a set of ambitious, technically robust and equally realistic scenarios. Joint scenarios outline three markedly different possible paths towards a low-carbon energy system in line with EU targets. They build on innovative and challenging storylines and are complemented by an additional perspective based on the EC EUCO 30 policy scenario.

Both ENTSOs believe that the unique value of the presented scenarios lies in their comprehensiveness and transparency. This makes them the best possible foundations on which to perform a fully-fledged and fully consistent test and assessment of the electricity and gas infrastructure, against the very same possible future developments, as part of the TYNDPs 2018. We also see these transparent scenarios as a sound basis for any party wishing to perform their own analysis of future energy policies, market designs or technologies. The scenarios highlight that consumers will be central to achieving decarbonisation, through an evolution of behaviour, a fit-forpurpose regulatory framework and reliance on a renewable energy through new usages. This will especially be the case for the transport and heating sectors, where clear complementarities and synergies appear between electricity and gas. In this context, smart integration of the electricity, gas and transport systems and smart approaches to handling peak demand will be key in the future energy landscape.

Decarbonisation should be smart, efficient and secure. Therefore, for the first time together both ENTSOs examine the possible renewable generation, renewable gases development and the uptake of a wide range of technologies, among which smart grid technologies, centralised or smaller-scale electricity storage, power-to-gas or CCS/CCU still deserve to be further explored.

The presented scenarios set the scene for EU energy and climate goals. The TYNDPs will next assess what is required, in terms of developing the electricity and gas infrastructure, for society to materialise the benefits of meeting EU ambitious goals.

We look forward to comments from stakeholders and feedback on these scenarios.

Jan Ingwersen General Manager, ENTSOG

Laurent Schmitt Secretary-General, ENTSOE

## 1. Introduction

#### What Is This Report About?

This Scenario Report provides a detailed overview of possible European energy futures up to 2040. All scenarios have been built as realistic and technically sound, based on forward looking policies, whilst also being ambitious in nature and aiming at reducing emissions by 80 to 95% in line with EU targets for 2050<sup>1</sup>. They will be used by ENTSOs and other organisations to analyse the future of the European energy system, starting with the yearly Mid-term Adequacy Forecast released for consultation by ENTSO-E in autumn 2017 and the Ten Years Network Development Plans for gas and electricity to be released in 2018.

#### New Platforms To Study The Future Of Gas And Electricity, Designed By All

For the first time, the ENTSOs for gas and electricity have pooled their efforts and expertise to provide a joint set of scenarios, allowing for assessments of future investment decisions in Europe to be based on comparable analysis between the sectors.

Dozens of representatives from all sides of the energy sector, consumer and environmental associations, governments and regulators have co-constructed a new set of storylines with the ENTSOs. Together, we described three markedly different and ambitious paths towards delivering the future European emission targets. They have been complemented by an external scenario for 2030. These scenarios set the frame for a rich range of indicators including macro-economic trends, energy use in different sectors, technological input assumptions and power generation by fuel type.

This uniquely common approach has led to resolutely forward looking scenarios. The expertise of gas and electricity TSOs also ensures that the scenarios are broadly technically feasible; for instance, making it possible to maintain the energy balance at all time in each country. This is key to test the need and performance of possible future infrastructure in challenging but realistic situations.

## What Are The Main Storylines Of The Scenarios?

The TYNDP 2018 scenarios cover from 2020 to 2040. 2020 and 2025 are labelled as Best Estimate scenarios due to a lower level of uncertainty. As uncertainty increases over longer time horizons, the 2030 and 2040 scenarios have been designed with European 2050 targets as an objective, recognising the work done in the e-Highway 2050 project<sup>2</sup>.

The scenarios for 2030 and 2040, co-constructed with stakeholders representing among others the industry, NGOs, Member States and Regulators, follow these storylines:

#### **Sustainable Transition**

Targets reached through national regulation, emission trading schemes and subsidies, maximising the use of existing infrastructure.

#### **Distributed Generation**

Prosumers at the centre – small-scale generation, batteries and fuel switching society engaged and empowered.

#### **Global Climate Action**

Full speed global decarbonisation, large-scale renewables development in both electricity and gas sectors.

#### **External Scenario: Based On EUCO 30**

EUCO 30 is a core policy scenario produced by the European Commission. The scenario models the achievement of the 2030 climate and energy targets as agreed by the European Council in 2014, but including an energy efficiency target of 30%. The ENTSOs both welcome this new collaboration with the European Commission and welcome further cooperation.

<sup>2</sup> ht tp : // w w w . e highway2050.eu/ehighway2050/ The e-Highway 2050 project addressed the developments of the pan-European electricity transmission network in 2050, dealing with the transition paths for the whole power system.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://ec.europa. eu/clima/policies/ strategies/2050\_en

#### How To Read This Report?

The report is intended to provide readers with a condensed overview of the scenarios. This includes the storylines and key assumptions of these scenarios (Chapter 2) that lead into the scenario results (Chapter 3) in terms of demand, supply and EU climate targets. The stakeholder engagement process (Chapter 4) has been fundamental in selecting which scenarios to consider and give them their framework. The significant changes in the scenario building process that have taken place compared to the latest TYNDP editions are summarised in the scenario development methodology (Chapter 5). This intensive scenario development process is the starting point towards electricity and gas TYNDPs' next steps (Chapter 6).

The ENTSO's scenario development process is unique in that it comes with a huge amount of scenario data, at country-level granularity, made transparently available public-wide, which goes beyond the overview provided in this Scenario Report. Interested stakeholders are invited to review the additional information and data in the Annexes, to consult the scenario data on ENTSOs websites<sup>3</sup> and to join ENTSO's Scenario Workshop on 9th October 2017.

> <sup>3</sup> https://www.entsog. eu/publications/ tyndp#ENTSOG-TEN-YEAR-NETWORK-DEVELOPMENT-PLAN -2018 http://tyndp.entsoe. eu/tyndp2018/

## 2. Scenario Description And Storylines

All scenarios detail electrical load and generation, along with gas demand and supply, within a framework of EU targets and commodity prices. The full storylines, parameters and price assumptions supporting these possible futures are dealt with in this chapter. The methodology for building the scenarios is explained in Chapter 5, with further detail available in the supporting Annex document. The TYNDP scenarios include a Best Estimate scenario for the short and medium term, but three storylines for the longer term to reflect increasing uncertainties. They all are on track by 2030 to meet the decarbonisation targets set out by the EU. The scenario pathways from 2020 to 2040 can be seen in Figure 1:




Figure 1: The scenario building framework for TYNDP 18. Renewable Energy Systems (RES) share of demand for electricity and gas.




Figure 2: The TYNDP 18 scenarios for 2030 and 2040 are defined by three storylines.

The Best Estimate scenarios for 2020 and 2025 are based on TSO perspective, reflecting all national and European regulations in place, whilst not conflicting with any of the other scenarios. A sensitivity analysis regarding the merit order of coal and gas in the power sector is included for 2025 following stakeholder input regarding the uncertainty on prices, even in the short term. These are described as 2025 Coal Before Gas (CBG) and 2025 Gas Before Coal (GBC).

The storylines for 2030 and 2040 are:

- Sustainable Transition (ST) seeks a quick and economically sustainable CO<sub>2</sub> reduction by replacing coal and lignite by gas in the power sector. Gas also displaces some oil usage in heavy transport and shipping. The electrification of heat and transport develops at a slower pace than other scenarios. In this scenario, reaching the EU goal (80-95% CO<sub>2</sub> reduction in 2050) requires rapid development during the 2040s to be achieved through increased technological adoption or evolution.
- Distributed Generation (DG) places prosumers at the centre. It represents a more decentralised development with focus on end user technologies. Smart technology and dual fuel appliances such as hybrid heat pumps allow consumers to switch energy depending on market conditions. Electric vehicles see their highest penetration with PV and batteries widespread in buildings. These developments lead to high levels of demand side response available.
- Global Climate Action (GCA) represents a global effort towards full speed decarbonisation. The emphasis is on large-scale renewables and even nuclear in the power sector. Residential and commercial heat become more electrified, leading to a steady decline of gas demand in this sector. Decarbonisation of transportation is achieved through both electric and gas vehicle growth. Energy efficiency measures affect all sectors. Renewable gases see their strongest development within this scenario.

#### **External Scenario:**

- As part of the European Commission's (EC) impact assessment work in 2016, EUCO 30 was a core policy scenario, created using the PRIMES model and the EU Reference Scenario 2016 as a starting point. The scenario models the achievement of the 2030 climate and energy targets as agreed by the European Council in 2014, but including an energy efficiency target of 30%. It was prepared by a consortium led by E3Mlab, hosted at the National Technical University of Athens (NTUA), and including the International Institute for Applied System Analysis (IIASA). Upon assessment from the EC, although no scenario offered a direct comparison, it was

determined that Global Climate Action was the closest representation in terms of the parameters that define the scenario. As a result, the scenario created using the input data from EUCO 30 has replaced Global Climate Action for 2030 within the TYNDP framework. However, the diverse methodologies used for deriving the scenarios may lead to differences in the continuity between this scenario and those that have been internally developed. The ENTSOs will further collaborate with the EC to improve the overall consistency shown within the Scenario Report.

Stakeholders determined with the ENTSOs the following parameters for the storylines:

Scenario		<b>Global Climate Action</b>	Sustainable Transition	Distributed			
	1		Generation				
Category	Criteria	Parameter					
	Climate action driven by	Global ETS	EU ETS & direct RES subsidies	EU ETS			
Macroeconomic Trends	EU on track to 2030 target?	Beyond	On track	Slightly beyond			
	EU on track to 2050 target?	On track	Slightly beyond	On track			
	Economic conditions	High growth	Moderate growth	High growth			
Transport	Electric and hybrid vehicles	High growth	Moderate growth	Very high growth			
	Gas vehicles	High growth	Very high growth	Low growth			
	Demand flexibility	High growth	Moderate growth	Very high growth			
	Electricity flexibility	Moderate growth	Stable	Moderate growth			
Residential/	Gas demand	Reduction	Slight reduction	Reduction			
Commercial	Electric heat pump	High growth	Low growth	Moderate growth			
	Energy efficiency	High growth	Moderate growth	High growth			
	Hybrid heat pump	High growth	Moderate growth	Very high growth			
	Electricity demand	Stable	Stable	Moderate growth			
Industry	Gas demand	Stable	Stable	Reduction			
industry	CCS	Low growth	Low growth	Not significant			
	Demand and flexibility	Moderate growth	Low growth	Very high growth			
	Merit order	Gas Before Coal	Gas Before Coal	Gas Before Coal			
	Nuclear	Depending on national policies	Reduction	Reduction			
	Storage	Moderate growth	Low growth	Very high growth			
	Wind	High growth	Moderate growth	High growth			
Power	Solar	High growth	Moderate growth	Very high growth			
	Other bio- energies	Moderate growth	Moderate growth	High growth			
	CCS	Not significant	Not significant	Not significant			
	Adequacy	Some surplus capacity	Some surplus capacity	High surplus capacity			
Non-fossil gas	Power-to- gas	High growth	Not significant	High growth			
sources	Bio- methane	High growth	High growth	High growth			

#### Figure 3: Overview of the guiding parameters for the scenarios

#### 2.1. Scenario GLOBAL CLIMATE ACTION

#### **Macro-Economic Trends and Targets**

The Global Climate Action storyline considers global climate efforts. Global methods regarding  $CO_2$  reductions are in place, and the EU is on track towards its 2030 and 2050 decarbonisation targets. An efficient ETS trading scheme is a key enabler in the electricity sector's success in contributing to global/EU decarbonisation policy objectives. In general, renewables are located across Europe where the best wind and solar resources are found. As non-intermittent renewables bio-methane is also developed. Due to the focus on environmental issues no investment in shale gas is expected.

#### **Power Generation**

A CO<sub>2</sub> market price provides the correct market signals that trigger investments in lowcarbon power generation technologies and for flexibility services. A technology-neutral framework is established, which in particular supports investments in renewables. Powerto-gas (P2G) becomes a commercially viable technology for the production of green gas. The CO<sub>2</sub> price makes natural gas-fired CCGTs appear before coal in the merit order. Gas-fired units provide flexibility needed within the power market, helping facilitate intermittent renewable technologies within the market. Nuclear mostly depends on country specific policies and there may be potential for some minimum new units in some countries. Carbon capture and storage is not an economically viable option, but it still represents a technically viable option for industries whose processes are characterised by high load factors. System adequacy is driven by price signals, which allows market-based investments in peaking power plants to be made.

The efficient and widespread implementation of global climate schemes prevents carbon leakage between countries, therefore improving the relative competitiveness of energy intensive industries within Europe.

#### Transport

Electricity and natural gas are both key components for the transport sector in reaching emission reduction goals. The impact of electrification is that demand for electricity use in the private and small commercial transportation sector increases. There is an increase in the use of LNG for transportation especially where electricity does not represent an alternative fuel, such as heavy goods and shipping sectors. There is a limited penetration of hydrogen vehicles.

#### Heat

Electric and hybrid heat pumps are a significant technology in the heating sector, helping to offset the use of fossil fuel heating. All electric heat pumps are installed in new high efficiency buildings, while hybrid heat pumps are installed in existing lower efficiency buildings with an existing gas connection. Together with electric and hybrid heat pumps, district heating plants represent an efficient solution.

#### **Consumer Behaviour**

Demand response in both industrial and residential sectors has increased – increased automation of things and the internet give consumers the option to move their demand to the lower-priced hours. The overall impact of energy efficiency is higher on the residential sector while offset by strong economic growth in the industrial sector. Demand flexibility is also a key factor ensuring system adequacy due to its ability to shift demand peaks.

#### **Electricity Demand**

Yearly electricity demand has increased in various sectors; overall electricity demand growth is limited by increasing energy efficiency. High GDP growth means that people invest in high efficiency products such as lighting, computers and white goods, all of which help to reduce the overall residential energy consumption.

#### **Gas Demand**

Yearly final gas demand is increasing in the transport sector whilst decreasing in the residential sector, driven mainly from improvements in technology efficiencies and building insulation measures. Gas demand is stable in the industrial sector where the impact of energy efficiency measures offsets the increase due to the strong economic growth. Gas is essential to cover peak demand situations, such as cold weather conditions. Industrial gas demand for heating is stable in this scenario.

#### 2.2. Scenario SUSTAINABLE TRANSITION

#### **Macro-Economic Trends and Targets**

In the Sustainable Transition storyline, climate action is achieved with a mixture of national regulation, emission trading schemes and subsidies. National regulation takes the shape of legislation that imposes binding emission targets. Overall, the EU is just on track with 2030 targets, whilst being slightly behind in 2040 on the path to the 2050 decarbonisation goals. However, targets are still achievable if rapid progress is made in decarbonising the power sector during 2040s.

The economic climate in the Sustainable Transition scenario is moderate growth; regulation and subsidies are achievable since there is the capital available from national governments to fund RES projects (both intermittent and non-intermittent). There is a societal ambition to support and participate in climate action, as long as the climate action is seen to be managed in a cost effective way. As a result, shale gas is not developed.

#### **Power Generation**

Gas-fired power generation flourishes due to relatively cheap global gas prices and strong growth of bio-methane. A regulatory framework in place decreases the use of coal-fired power stations. Gas-fired generation provides the necessary flexibility to balance renewables in the power system. There is a decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions since much coal-fired base load power generation retires or is out of merit due to a reasonably high ETS, carbon prices and governmental policies. Depending on national policies, there could still be room for a minimum number of new units but overall the number of nuclear plants in Europe is decreasing. Carbon capture and storage does represent a viable option in industries for those processes characterised by high load factors. An efficient electricity market and strong price signals ensure necessary investment to peaking power generation, with gas being the preferred fuel.

#### Heat

There are no significant changes in the heat generation sector; in most countries, gas will remain the most prominent source; however, the use will decrease due to increasing energy efficiency. Hybrid heat pumps are considered an option in new buildings. Industrial gas and electricity demand is relatively stable. Development of energy efficiency is moderate.

#### Transport

Driven by cheap gas prices and bio-methane development, gas is the preferred option for passenger cars to switch from oil in reaching emission reduction goals, while electricity use for residential transport is growing moderately. There is an increase in LNG use in heavy goods and shipping sectors. There is a limited penetration of hydrogen vehicles.

#### **Electricity Demand**

Overall, electricity demand stagnates or grows moderately. Use of gaseous fuels increases for transport and power generation, but slightly decreases for heating.

#### **Gas Demand**

The yearly final gas demand is increasing in the transport sector. Annual gas demand is decreasing in the residential sector, driven mainly by efficiency measures, but gas still provides a large proportion of peak heating demand situations. Industrial demand is relatively stable.

#### 2.3. Scenario DISTRIBUTED GENERATION

#### **Macro-Economic Trends and Targets**

In the Distributed Generation storyline, significant leaps in innovation of small-scale generation and residential/commercial storage technologies are a key driver in climate action. An increase in small-scale generation keeps the EU on track to 2030 and 2050 targets. A rich society has bought into the energy markets, so society is engaged and empowered to help achieve a decarbonised place to live. As a result, no investment in shale gas is expected.

#### **Power Generation**

Small-scale generation technologies costs have been rapidly declining. Technologies such as solar offer a non-subsidised option for 'prosumers' in most parts of Europe. Major advances in batteries enable 'prosumers' to balance their own electricity consumption within a day. Nuclear mostly depends on country specific policies. P2G becomes a commercially viable technology for the production of green gas. Technological leaps in small-scale generation challenge large-scale power generation, pressurising the profitability of traditional power plants. System adequacy is maintained through a centralised mechanism that retains enough peaking capacity, district heating CHP are suitable for both heating and electricity adequacy. The scenario has a strong ETS scheme which favours gas before coal in the power market, and an increasing share of bio fuels.

There is a strong EU climate policy in place, the decreasing cost of small-scale generation technologies drives down the cost of climate action. As solar yields are higher in Southern Europe, investments are likely to be higher in these regions, in comparison to Northern Europe.

Electricity demand flexibility has substantially increased, both in residential and industrial solutions, helping electric power adequacy. However, wintertime with high heating needs and low solar availability remains a challenge, since batteries cannot be used for seasonal storage.

#### Transport

Electricity and gaseous fuels are both key components for the transport sector in reaching emission reduction goals. Lower battery costs have significantly increased demand for electricity in the transportation sector. There is an increase in the use of LNG for the transportation of heavy goods and also in the shipping sectors. There is limited penetration of hydrogen vehicles.

#### Heat

Electric and hybrid heat pumps are a significant technology in the heating sector, helping to offset the use of fossil fuel heating. With improved building efficiencies into both existing and new buildings, hybrid heat pumps are the preferred option of 'prosumers'. Hybrid heat pumps allow the 'prosumer' to choose which source of energy to meet their heating needs. District heating from CHP plants represents an alternative solution for residential districts.

#### **Electricity Demand**

Yearly electricity demand has increased in the heating and transport sectors, overall electricity demand growth has reduced in the residential sector due to 'prosumer' behaviour, high efficiency goods and building efficiency measures. Demand responds well to market prices, the daily electricity demand profile is evened out, the effect is that peak electricity demand is reduced in this scenario.

#### **Gas Demand**

The yearly final gas demand is increasing in the transport sector. Annual gas demand is decreasing in the residential sector, driven mainly from all electric heating technologies, and building insulation measures. Gas is required for peak demand situations, such as cold weather conditions. Natural gas for industrial use is decreasing in this scenario driven by electrification of industrial process heating, however gaseous fuels are still required to cover peak demands. The gas demand for other energy intensive industry processes is stable.

## 3. Scenario Results


Summarised below are the results of the scenario building process, covering the electricity and gas sectors in terms of supply and demand as well as the evolution of  $CO_2$  emissions and renewable energy sources. These results are displayed at EU level<sup>4</sup> and represent the initial data from the process; additional checks and new calculations will be made and presented in the final version of the Scenario Report.


A description of the methodologies used is available in Chapter 5, with further details in the supporting Annex.

#### 3.1. Demand

#### **Electricity Demand**

The highest levels of demand in both the 2030 and 2040 timeframes are in the Distributed Generation scenario. In this scenario, there is very high growth in demand flexibility which accommodates this growth in demand, as the demand curve is easier to manage. The actual growth in demand is due to the very high increase in electric vehicles and heat pumps, although a high percentage of those are hybrid heat pumps which equally aid demand side management.







The Global Climate Action scenario is the second highest in the 2040 timeframe, due to high growth in both electric vehicles and heat pumps. It should be noted that demand levels would be higher if not for the high growth in energy efficiency.

Sustainable Transition has the lowest demand in both the 2030 and 2040 scenario as this scenario still focuses predominately on gas in the heating sector, but also in the power generation and transport sectors. EU Level represents all countries considered under the respective ENTSO-E and ENTSOG TYNDPs (for the full list of countries, please refer to the methodology Annex) and may be referred to as EU28+. For specific information related to EU targets, data represents only the EU28 and is labelled as such.

Where multiple tools have been used to generate the results, averages of these results are used in the main report using the 'normal' climate year (a normal hydro climate condition (between wet and dry). Two additional data tables representing dry and wet climate conditions can be found in our scenario data sets). Where applicable, the range of these tools is detailed in the Annex and full granularity of the data will be made available on the ENTSOs websites.





#### **Electric Vehicles**

The growth in electric vehicles is exponential throughout the timeline in all scenario paths. Distribution Generation shows the largest exponential growth compared to the other scenarios as this is a prosumer-based future which assumes high economic growth in society, therefore society has more means to invest in new technology.


The lowest amount of growth is seen in the Sustainable Transition scenario in both 2030 and 2040, as this is the scenario with moderate economic growth and with gas prices at their lowest; there is a higher development of gas vehicles.

#### **Heat Pumps**

The chart shows significant growth in heat pumps in the EUCO 30 scenario and the Global Climate Action 2040 scenario. The growth is due to electrification of the heating sector as part of an effort to decarbonise.

#### **Hybrid Heat Pumps**

The chart shows significant growth in hybrid heat pumps in the Distributed Generation and the Global Climate Action scenarios due to the same prosumer society and economic growth driving the increase in electric vehicles.



#### Gas Demand Yearly average gas demand


Figure 6: Total annual gas demand by scenario.

Annual gas demand in all of the scenarios is either in line with or lower than the historic demand average (2010 - 2016).

Where coal is before gas in the merit order for 2020 and 2025 CBG, the resulting impact on gas demand for power generation is a key factor in the annual demand. The reversed merit order shows the potential swing, something reflected in the upturn in gas for power generation during winter 2016/17. The merit order also has a small effect on the industrial demand where some fuel switching may occur. Increases seen in the transport sector largely balance a reduction in the residential and commercial sectors.

Sustainable Transition continues the trends seen between 2020 and 2025 throughout the time horizons, with efficiency measures and lower growth in new technologies resulting in a marginally declining industrial and residential and commercial demand. Gas in the transport sector continues to grow and demand for power generation is maintained.

Distributed Generation and Global Climate Action see a heavier decline in space heating demand due to the increase in heat pump technology, although with a higher percentage of hybrid heat pumps in Distributed Generation the impact is lessened. Power generation demand reduces significantly in both as gas power plants are more often used to balance the electricity systems dominated by renewable technology. Industrial demand observes the least change as economic growth means requirements for high heat processes, although these will become more efficient.



#### High Gas Demand Cases – Peak Day And 2 Week

■ Final Demand ■ Gas demand for power generation — Yearly Average

Figure 7: Total gas demand in high demand cases (Peak day and 2 week cold case).

The high demand cases displayed by the 2 week and peak<sup>5</sup> requirements reflect the changing nature of residential and commercial demand seen in the annual timeframe, as space heating typically drives peak gas consumption. As a result, final demand 2 week and peak values drop furthest in Global Climate Action due to the increase in electrical heat pumps and overall efficiency measures. Hybrid heat pumps in Distributed Generation still use the gas system to cope with peak demands driven by cold temperatures. Sustainable Transition observes the least change as consumers have invested in more traditional technology, although this is more efficient. Gas for power generation is also a significant high demand case element across all scenarios, where even in scenarios that see high levels of storage or demand side response back up to high levels of intermittent renewables is a vital role for flexible gas plants.

2 week high demand case: Maximum aggregation of gas demand reached over 14 consecutive days once every twenty years in each country to capture the influence of a long cold spell.

Peak day: Maximum level of gas demand used for the design of the network in each country to capture maximum transported energy and ensure consistency with national regulatory frameworks.

### 3.2. Supply

#### **Electricity Installed Capacity**






Figure 8: Electricity installed generation capacity by source and scenario.



#### **Electricity Generation Mix**

Figure 9: Electricity net generation by source and scenario.

The general trends that can be seen throughout the years are a reduction in nuclear (besides the EUCO 2030 scenario where there is a similar level as in the 2020 scenario), a reduction in coal which is less exaggerated in Distributed Generation, and an increase in wind and solar. The levels of Hydro + Pumped Storage and Biomass + other RES remain relatively constant throughout. Natural gas shows the most variation, with its use changing based on the assumptions of the scenario e.g. the need for balancing intermittent renewables and/or gas prices. It should be noted that peak units should only be dispatched for adequacy reasons and therefore appear high in the merit order.



🔳 National Production 🛢 Biomethane 🛢 P2G 🛢 Russia 📒 Norway 📮 Algeria 🛢 Lybia 🛢 Azerbaijan 🛢 LNG

Figure 10: Gas supply – Indigenous production and maximum supply potentials by source.

Conventional indigenous production within the EU is expected to decrease significantly over the next 20 years. Unconventional sources, such as shale gas, are not expected to materialise. The development of bio-methane and P2G help to mitigate this decline, especially in the Distributed Generation and Global Climate Action scenarios.

The maximum potential for extra-EU supply sources increases across the timeframe of the scenarios, with greater potential from LNG and Russia outweighing stagnation or decline of other sources. The potential for gas imports from Azerbaijan increase from 2019, adding to a diversified mix of routes.

## 3.3. Increase of renewable energy sources

#### E-RES % Share Of Demand





Figure 11: Percentage share of electricity demand covered by renewable generation by scenario.

In the 2020 – 2025 scenario timeframe the amount of RES contributing to demand is 41% +/- 2%. In the 2030 scenarios this is 48% +/- 3%. This is to say there is not a large variance in the timeframes from 2020 - 2030. In the 2040 scenarios the variance between the scenarios can be seen at a much higher scale, this range is from 53% - 75% based on ENTSO-E market modelling.

When combined, there is moderate growth of wind and solar in the Sustainable Transition scenario which explains the conservative RES contribution. Global Climate Action has higher levels of wind generation than in Distributed Generation, producing 1510 TWh compared to 1170 TWh in 2040. On the other hand, Distributed Generation has a higher level of solar generation, producing 1090 TWh compared to 870 TWh. Whilst the 2 scenarios have a similar level of installed capacity in terms of wind and solar (51% GCA – 50% DG), the skew towards wind in the Global Climate Action scenario results in higher overall renewable generation.



#### **G-RES % Share Of Demand**

Figure 12: Percentage share of green gas supplying total gas demand by scenario.

Bio-methane development is strong in all scenarios and forms the majority of the green gas supply. This only represents the bio-methane injected into the transmission network and the potential for the upgrading of biogas sources across the EU may offer further gains. P2G development starts from 2030 in Distributed Generation and Global Climate Action as variable RES increases. This represents low volumes of synthetic natural gas entering the transmission network, but this is an emerging technology with potential that currently is being explored through a number of pilot projects and studies. It represents another interaction between the gas and electricity networks in the future.

#### 3.4. Reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Reductions For The Power And Gas Sectors, Compared To The 1990 Level (EU28)




Figure 13: EU28 percentage reduction of  $CO_2$  emissions from power and gas sectors by scenario.


In the short term, the impact of fuel prices has a visible effect in the 2025 merit order sensitivity analysis. The Gas Before Coal scenario shows a 35% reduction compared to 29% when coal is favoured.

The reduction in  $CO_2$  emissions goes beyond the 2030 target of 40% in all scenarios and shows varying degrees of progression towards the 2050 targets in the 2040 time horizon. It is important to note that this data represents all EU emissions from the two sectors and does not factor in reductions seen in other sectors due to fuel switches.

Scenario	Mtons CO <sub>2</sub>	RES contribution as % of demand	Coal and oil generation (GWh)
GCA 2040	3.63	75%	230,352
ST 2040	7.31	53%	341,469
DG 2040	7.51	65%	411,679
ST 2030	10.74	45%	484,190
DG 2030	11.21	51%	597,366
EUCO 2030	13.65	47%	468,969
2025 – GBC	15.88	41%	689,727
2020	16.34	39%	968,556
2025 – CBG	19.03	43%	990,057

Table 1: CO<sub>2</sub> production from power generation in ascending order, including EU28+ countries.

The RES contributions are an important indicator for assessing  $CO_2$  emissions reduction. However, in the power sector the amount of  $CO_2$  also vastly depends on the rest of the energy mix. A higher level of coal generation in each scenario leads to higher  $CO_2$  emissions. Likewise, peaking units, which are used at very high demand times, are usually oil plants and emit  $CO_2$  levels between that of gas and coal.



CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Reductions For The Power Sector, Compared To The 1990 Level (EU28)

Figure 14: EU28 percentage reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the power sector by scenario.

GCA is the scenario with the lowest amount of  $CO_2$  emissions. All of the 2030 and 2040 scenarios are on track with the decarbonisation targets for 2050.

#### **3.5. Electricity Marginal Cost**

#### 90 80 70 60 €/MWh 50 40 77 66 67 30 60 58 56 51 50 20 38 10 0 512040 2019 CBG GBC 0062040 GCA2040 51.2030 DE 2030 EUCODO30

#### **Electricity Market Model Marginal Cost Output**

Figure 15: Marginal cost of electricity, yearly average per scenario, €/MWh (real values).


The marginal cost of electricity in the scenarios is primarily driven by assumptions on fuel and  $CO_2$  prices. The increases in zero marginal cost wind and solar power generation has significant impact on the average marginal cost of the system. Sustainable Transition is a low fuel price scenario, Global Climate Action captures a policy environment with a high  $CO_2$  price and in Distributed Generation, world energy fuel

prices are highest. The higher fuel prices in Distributed Generation and Global Climate Action lead to higher marginal costs compared to the Sustainable Transition scenario, despite a significantly higher share of renewables in Distributed Generation and Global Climate Action. Fuel prices are explained in more detail in section 5.3.

#### 3.6. Benchmarking


Although all scenario development processes follow different assumptions and use different modelling techniques, the ENTSOs see value in benchmarking the output against other sources of information. The charts below offer a comparison in terms of demand for both sectors against those in the IEA World Energy Outlook 2016<sup>6</sup>. Similar values can be seen for both sectors, showing that despite different scenario assumptions, there are certain consistencies in terms of demand evolution seen by IEA and the scenario development from the ENTSOs.


#### Electricity Demand 2030 Scenario Comparison With IEA World Energy Outlook 2016




<sup>6</sup> Current policies (CPS): This scenario only considers policies that have formally adopted implementing measures along with the assumption that these will remain unchanged. New policies (NPS): This scenario, in addition to the considerations for the current policies, adds relevant policy intentions that have been announced even if they have not yet been fully defined. Policies are introduced in a cautious manner relating to renewable energy, energy efficiency, alternative fuels in transport, carbon pricing, energy subsidies and the future of nuclear power. 450 Scenario (450 S): This scenario takes the goal of limiting the increase in the global average temperature to two degrees Celsius by assuming a range of policies that reduce GHG emissions to a stable concentration of 450 parts per million by 2100.

2040 Scenario Comparison With IEA World Energy Outlook 2016







Gas Demand 2030 Scenario Comparison With IEA World Energy Outlook 2016 (EU)

#### 2040 Scenario Comparison With IEA World Energy Outlook 2016 (EU)




Figure 17: Gas demand comparison to WEO 2016 scenarios.


## 4. Stakeholder Process, Feedback And How This Shaped The Scenarios

External stakeholders representing the gas and electricity sectors, consumer and environmental NGOs, regulators and Members States were key in building an ambitious, yet technically sound, set of scenarios.

A new process was set up by ENTSO-E and ENTSOG to work jointly with stakeholders through interactive workshops, webinars and web-consultations. Dozens of stakeholders provided input to formulate, with the ENTSOs, the new scenarios storylines for 2030 and 2040. As a result, the ENTSOs scenarios uniquely represent generally accepted, yet highly ambitious, views of what the future could look like, rather than a view of the future desired or promoted by any organisation. They will allow the testing of Europe's future energy infrastructures under varied and stressing situations.

The development process<sup>7</sup> for these storylines followed the steps below:

1. First draft of five storylines for potential relevant futures for the electricity and gas system in Europe developed by ENTSO-E and ENTSOG.



- 2. Public consultation of storylines and request for other relevant scenarios: "Joint ENTSO-E and ENTSOG consultation: Build Europe's future scenarios" (May 12th to June 12th 2016).
  - 75 suggestions for new scenarios were proposed by stakeholders. In most cases, they were very close in content to one of the five draft scenarios, with the exception of a small number of outliers.

## 3. Two public workshops on future scenarios (June 2nd 2016 and July 5th 2016).

– During and shortly after the consultation two workshops were held with stakeholders [3]: the first one brought together the electricity and gas stakeholders interested in TYNDP, the second was dedicated to Member States' and National Regulators' representatives. During these workshops, the content of the draft storylines was discussed and new alternative scenarios were created which were also merged into the draft storylines. In addition, stakeholders were invited to vote for the three storylines that should be developed further for TYNDP 2018. The ranking of the storylines, in each workshop, is shown in Figure 19.

#### 4.Based on public workshops and consultation: the scenarios were reduced to three futures and adjustments made to the initial storylines

 Based on stakeholders' input, ENTSOs decided to develop Distributed Generation, Sustainable Transition and Global Climate Action. Behind Targets was excluded despite being ranked third in the second workshop. It was not considered as relevant in view of assessing the electricity and gas networks against future challenges.

#### 5. Presentation of selected storylines and request for input to scenario quantification. Webinar October 10th 2016

 Based on the input from stakeholders, the three scenarios were developed further by describing in more detail a large number of indicators (presented in Figure 3: Overview of the guiding parameters for the scenarios). The final scenario storylines were presented during a webinar on 10th October 2016, during which stakeholders were also given the opportunity to give input to ranges of values which would be used during the scenario quantification process.

What did the stakeholders say?	What did the MSs & NRAs say?			
2 June 2016 workshop	5 July 2016 workshop			
1. Global Climate Action – 33%	1. Sustainable Transition – 29%			
2. Sustainable Transition – 25%	2. Distributed Generation – 29%			
3. Distributed Generation – 25%	3. Behind Targets – 20%			
4. Subsidised Green Europe – 11%	4. Subsidised Green Europe – 14%			
5. Behind targets – 7%	5. Global Climate Action – 8%			

Figure 19: Voting per scenario from stakeholders, to determine which should be developed further during stakeholder workshops.

## 5. Scenario Development Methodology

The scenarios describe three storylines for the European gas and electricity systems transition from 2020 until 2040, detailing electrical load and generation along with gas demand and supply, within a framework of EU targets and commodity prices.

The ENTSO-E and ENTSOG jointly developed pathways are also supplemented with an external scenario based on inputs developed by the European Commission for 2030, called the EUCO scenario.

Further information regarding the EUCO 30 scenario can be found on the European Commission's Energy Modelling website<sup>8</sup>. How this data has been used in the scenario building process has been detailed within this report and supporting Annexes, including its translation from one modelling tool to another and assumptions made.

Altogether the scenarios represent the possible evolution of relevant futures for the energy system in Europe, including in terms of policy anticipation which will drive these changes. The selection of the three scenarios, as well as the content in the storylines, is based on input received during the stakeholder consultation process.

All input to the scenario development process, from stakeholder input to underlying policies or actual quantitative parameters, are all assumptions which determine the output of the scenario results driven by the methodologies and tools used. Changes to these assumptions would reflect in different outcomes than those presented in this document.

#### 5.1. Changes And Improvements From TYNDP 2016/2017 Scenarios

The last TYNDP editions were the ENTSO-E TYNDP 2016 and ENTSOG TYNDP 2017. The most obvious change from past TYNDP scenarios is that the current set has been developed as part of a joint effort between ENTSOG and ENTSO-E, aligning their respective processes in order to achieve this goal. In conjunction with this work, the TYNDP development timelines for electricity and gas have been brought into closer alignment, and therefore branded as TYNDP 2018 for both sectors. The scenario set is also co-constructed with stakeholders representing all sides of the energy sector: consumers, the environment, Members States and regulators.

#### 5.1.1. ENTSO-E TYNDP 16 Scenarios

ENTSO-E's TYNDP 16<sup>9</sup> scenarios were defined from two governing parameters: the relative speed of the green transition and the degree of European cooperation within the development of the electricity system. This has led to four combinations resulting in two ambitious and two less ambitious scenarios in terms of  $CO_2$ reduction, all describing the energy system in 2030.

<sup>8</sup> https://ec.europa.eu/ energy/en/data-analysis/ energy-modelling <sup>9</sup> https://www.entsoe.

'https://www.entsoe. eu/Documents/ TYNDP%20documents/ TYNDP%202016/ rgips/TYNDP2016%20 Scenario%20 Development%20 Report%20-%20 Final,pdf



Figure 20: The TYNDP 16 scenario framework used four scenarios defined by two axis.

#### 5.1.2. ENTSOG TYNDP 17 Scenarios

In order to define the scenarios required for TYNDP 2017, two main axes were considered, Economic Growth and Green Ambition. Storylines and parameters were established for each scenario and shared as part of the stakeholder joint working sessions, where feedback was incorporated into the development.




Figure 21: The TYNDP 2017 demand scenario axis diagram.

#### 5.1.3. Improvements For TYNDP 18

Both ENTSOs consistently work to modernise their data, tools and methodologies between each release of the scenarios. For TYNDP 2018, some of the key improvements are presented below. The methodologies used by both ENTSOs to produce the scenarios are presented in detail in the Annex of this report.

## Looking At Gas And Electricity From The Same Angle

For the first time, the ENTSOs for gas and electricity have pooled their efforts and expertise to provide a set of scenarios allowing decisions to be made on the European energy sector based on consistent analysis between the sectors.

Together, the ENTSOs decided to:

- develop joint scenarios, instead of adopting data from visions created separately, without common storylines or input.
- Increase stakeholder interaction across a wider section of the energy industry when developing the scenarios.
- Include cross-sectoral technologies.

This is an important change since both gas and electricity sectors are both impacted by the same transition towards decarbonisation and developments in one sector can affect the other. For example:

- The decarbonisation of the domestic heating sector (conversion of fossil fuel heating to electric heat pump heating or hybrid heat pump heating) increases electricity consumption and decreases gas consumption in the residential and commercial sectors.
- Changes to gas-fired power plants fuel consumption due to electricity production from renewable energy sources.
- Developments within P2G: production of green gas, utilisation of surplus renewable electricity.

#### A Consistent But Diverse Family Of Scenarios

The new set includes more scenarios for the long term (2030 and 2040) than for the near to mid-term. This reflects the growing level of uncertainty as the future develops.

The TYNDP 2018 electricity sector assumptions and results come from a balanced mix between top-down and bottom-up approaches (see section 5.2 for further explanations). This allows the combination of local knowledge and experience of TSOs in predicting the near future, with the necessity to deliver long-term scenarios driven by a shared European vision.

#### Measuring The Effect Of Climate On The Power System

For the first time, the electricity mix in each scenario is assessed using 3 different climate situations. For each hour of the year and in each zone of the model (countries or parts of countries), a database constructed with the expertise of Météo-France and the Technical University of Denmark provided data for precipitation, wind, temperature and sun exposition. This was used to determine locally the RES generation as well as demand levels. The ENTSO's experts selected 3 series of weather conditions representing warmer or colder and dryer or wetter years and tested them in the models. With a high share of solar and wind energy in the scenarios, it is increasingly important to better understand how the system responds to warmer or colder years, or to weaker or steadier winds.

#### Improving The Modelling Of Demand

ENTSO-E and ENTSOG have both focused on improving the prediction of energy consumption. New approaches and algorithms were developed to more precisely forecast the penetration of electricity demand side technologies (including demand response, electric vehicles, heat pumps and home storage). These served as input to determine specific load profiles for each zone, scenario and climate condition. The gas demand data for scenarios now includes a sectoral breakdown for all countries.

Thanks to the new level of collaboration between ENTSOG and ENTSO-E, the output of electricity models now directly serves as an input for the gas scenarios power sector consumption profiles.




Figure 22: TYNDP 2018 scenario framework.

For TYNDP 2017, ENTSOG developed a gas for power generation methodology called the 'Thermal Gap' approach, aligning with specific ENTSO-E TYNDP 2016 Visions and using this data as an input. The installed capacity and generation data of coal and gas plants were used to create a 'Thermal Gap', which depending on the storyline would either be dominated by gas or coal by flexing the production between each fuel source to meet the required generation. As a result, although the overall generation values were the same, the share from gas or coal would differ compared with the ENTSO-E Visions.

In the electricity sector the development of renewable energy sources that have started over past years will see a tremendous evolution in the future. Also the demand for electricity is expected to develop significantly in sectors currently not massively electrified, such as heating or transport. In the gas sector, significant changes are expected in the demand pattern, with the role of gas expected to decrease in the heating sector but to develop in parts of the transport sector. In terms of supplies, the gas sector is also expected to experience a development of renewable gas sources, produced within the European borders, that will be complemented by the gas supplies coming from extra-EU supply sources.

#### 5.2. Main Methodology Elements

The scenarios present consistent results for the gas and electricity sectors thanks to common storylines and datasets, plus a high level of coordination throughout the process. Because the different sectors face significantly different challenges moving forwards, and due to the fact electricity and gas are inherently different products, the ENTSOs have developed and retained scenario development methodologies best fitted to these sectoral challenges. While the main elements are summarised below, more detailed information can be found in the Annex report.

#### 5.2.1. Electricity Sector Methodology Elements

#### Bottom-up and top-down

To build the TYNDP 2018 electricity scenario, ENTSO-E used a balanced mix between top-down and bottom-up approaches. This allows the combination of local knowledge and experience of TSOs in predicting the near future with the necessity to deliver long-term scenarios driven by a shared European vision.

Because the near future is relatively predictable (especially as energy sector investments generally require long development periods), near-term scenarios for 2020 and 2025, as well as Sustainable Transition 2030, make the best use of the local knowledge of TSOs. Each TSO provides their estimation of demand, generation capacity and other elements based on common European storylines for each scenario. After analysis and consistency correction of the data, modelling tools calculate the output value of scenarios.

- Looking further into the future allows more margin of manoeuvre to determine the European and local energy landscapes.
  Longer-term scenarios (all 2040 and Distributed Generation 2030) are therefore built with a top-down approach and include a set of pre-determined European targets (such as the share of demand covered by RES technologies).
- For the top-down scenarios, a Thermal and RES Optimisation phase was added in order to include peaking units for adequacy, access economic viability of plants and optimise the PV, onshore and offshore wind generation in the scenario. More information on optimisation can be found in the Annex II: Methodology.

#### **General Process**

The process to draft description of storylines and eventually turn them into the results presented in this report involved dozens of experts from all regions of Europe. Here Europe is split into nodes by countries, then some countries further split into areas. A full list of the market nodes can be seen in Annex II: Methodology (1.3.2).

#### Determination of storylines co-constructed with all stakeholders



Up to 6 commercially available market modelling tools are used to determine how the power system will behave in each zone, for each hour of the year, and each climate situation. Considering so many tools uniquely allows the scenarios to benefit from the specific strength of each of them and from extremely consolidated results.

Hundreds of simulations are necessary for TSO experts. The outputs of these models are the hourly marginal electricity price for each zone, and the hourly generation mix which allows to derive CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

Figure 23: Overview electricity sector methodology.

#### **Base Case Grid**

While the scenarios will eventually be used to test future grid infrastructure developments, the construction process focuses on determining levels of demand and generation. To do so, the models use a "base case" network for interconnections, which corresponds to the current grid plus projects considered in the TYNDP 2016.10

The ENTSO-E TYNDP 2018 will use a more restrictive approach to determine the base case grid for the CBA analysis of projects. Only very mature transmission projects, which have already started permitting, will be considered in the base case grid.

ENTSO-E started a data collection in September 2017 for the new TYNDP base case grid. This new set of data will be fed into the market models to produce the final scenario results, to be presented in the final version of this report after the public consultation.

> <sup>10</sup>The ENTSO-E TYNDP 2016 reference grid was composed of all transmission projects for which the declared commissioning date was on or before 2030, and which were included in the relevant National Development Plans.

#### 5.2.2. Gas Sector Methodology Elements

Based on decades of experience, gas TSOs have developed expertise in terms of gas demand – in particular its seasonal pattern or how it behaves in peak situations – and in terms of indigenous production. While those will undergo significant changes, ENTSOG builds on this expertise by collecting, at national level, data from its TSO end-user demand data for the different storylines, as well as indigenous production data for both conventional and green gases. More information on the methodology retained at national level by the TSOs is available as part of the Country Specifics section of the Annex report.

ENTSOG have requested further sectoral demand data from TSOs during this scenario development process. This, combined with top-down methodologies, intends to provide stakeholders with a more detailed view of the sectoral development of gas demand in the storylines.

In terms of gas demand for power generation, in the previous TYNDP edition ENTSO-E TYNDP data had been used to flex thermal generation between coal and gas within a 'Thermal Gap' in line with the retained scenarios. Thanks to the new degree of collaboration between the ENTSOs, as well as gas and electricity TSOs, this is now directly linked to the output of the ENTSO-E modelling process. When it comes to supplies, a variety of supply mixes could be experienced, independently from the scenario storylines. They will depend both on the potential of each supply source and on market rationale favouring a supply source over another one. For the supply potentials referring to extra-EU sources, ENTSOG has no specific expertise and therefore relies on publicly available information, as presented later in this report. Compared to last edition, TYNDP 2018 will see the LNG supply potential treated as a multi-source supply. For each scenario, different supply mixes will be assessed as part of the TYNDP assessment.

In regards to indigenous production, the scenarios distinguish between conventional gas and renewable gases, and also for the later between bio-methane and gas produced from P2G technology. Indeed a specific approach has been developed for the first time on P2G in cooperation with ENTSO-E.

#### 5.3. Scenario Fuel And Carbon Price Assumptions

## Fuel and carbon prices are key inputs to the development process

The ENTSOs have used the information provided by the IEA World Energy Outlook (WEO), which considers the global context and development that influences commodity prices, as world energy fuel prices for oil, gas and coal are typically hard to predict. The IEA World Energy Outlook provides an annual report on the possible future energy trends and the associated fossil fuel and carbon prices for the 2020 to 2040 timeframe.

The storyline for each scenario is used to map the WEO scenario to the respective ENTSOs counterpart. The majority of the data used reflects that of the WEO 2016 report, which has the scenarios of Current Policies, New Policies and the 450. In order to more accurately reflect the storylines developed, references to the Low Oil Price Scenario from WEO 2015 were used for Sustainable Transition with a decreased price for natural gas, plus  $CO_2$  prices were increased for Distributed Generation. Further insight into these changes, plus the effects and usage of prices can be found within the Annex supporting this report. The fuel prices for the EUCO 2030 scenario are provided directly by DG ENER.

For power generation, these inputs determine the marginal costs of each thermal power unit depending on its efficiency and emissions. Each unit is linked to one fuel price depending on its type. Gas price will be used as the reference price for gas supplies during the TYNDP assessment.

The following table provides a summary of the fuel prices used within the scenario building framework. All prices should be considered as expressed in real terms (in this case as they are based on the WEO prices), in  $\notin$ 2015.

		Fuel & CO <sub>2</sub> prices								
	Year	2020	2025	2025	2030	2030	2030	2040	2040	2040
	Scenario	Expected Progress	Coal Before Gas	Gas Before Coal	Sustainable Transition	EUCO	Distributed Generation	Sustainable Transition	Sustainable Transition	Sustainable Transition
€/net GJ	Nuclear	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.47
	Lignite	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	2.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
	Hard coal	2.3	2.5	2.1	2.4	4.3	2.7	2.5	1.8	2.8
	Gas	6.1	7.4	7.0	5.0	6.9	8.8	5.5	8.4	9.8
	Light oil	15.5	18.7	15.5	15.3	20.5	21.8	17.1	15.3	24.4
	Heavy oil	12.7	15.3	12.7	12.5	14.6	17.9	14.0	12.6	20.0
	Oil shale	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
€/ton	CO <sub>2</sub> price	18.0	25.7	54.0	33.3	27.0	50.0	45.0	126.0	80.0
	Fuel Price Source	WEO 2016 New Policies	WEO2016 New Policies	WEO 2016 450	WEO 2016 New Policies Fuel Prices adjusted to create a "Low Oil Price Scenario"	Fuel Prices Provided by DG Energy	WEO 2016 New Policies with higher CO <sub>2</sub>	WEO 2016 New Policies Fuel Prices adjusted to create a "Low Oil Price Scenario"	WEO 2016 450	WEO 2016 New Policies with higher CO <sub>2</sub>

Table 2: TYNDP 2018 Scenarios Fuel & CO2 Prices

## 6. Next Steps

Along with this Scenario Report, the ENTSOs are opening a public consultation until 10th November 2017 to receive stakeholder feedback. During this timeframe, the ENTSOs will hold a Stakeholder Workshop, on 9 October 2017, offering stakeholders the opportunity to interact directly with the ENTSOs and exchange views. In regards to gas supply assumptions (see details in the Annex report), ENTSOG will invite interested stakeholders to a dedicated Stakeholder Joint Working Session during Q4 2017. Within three months of the consultation's closure, the ENTSOs will issue the final version of the report.

Both ENTSOs are currently working on the 2nd version of their CBA Methodologies, in view of their entry into force for application to the TYNDPs 2018 assessment phase.

Over the coming months, the ENTSOs will pursue their respective TYNDP 2018 development process, in a close timeline:

- During autumn and winter 2017/2018, the ENTSOs will each proceed with the call for TYNDP projects. This call will be advertised in advance, and will be accompanied by workshops for each sector with project promoters.
- The electricity and gas draft TYNDPs will be published in Q3 2018 for public consultation.
- Further to receiving the public consultation feedback as well as ACER opinion, the ENTSOs will publish the final TYNDPs, by end 2018 for electricity and in spring 2019 for gas<sup>11</sup>.
- Both TYNDPs will support the 4th PCI selection process.

<sup>11</sup> On the gas side subject to the entry into force of the 2nd CBA Methodology expected summer 2018, the individual project assessments are planned to be performed over the second half of 2018 and to be published as part of the TYNDP final version.



