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1. Country Level Results

1.1. Demand

1.1.1. Electricity Demand

![Electricity annual demand on a country level (DE, ES, FR, GB, IT, PL, TR)](image)

*Figure 1: Electricity annual demand on a country level (DE, ES, FR, GB, IT, PL, TR)*
Figure 2: Electricity annual demand on a country level (AT, BE, BG, CH, CZ, DK, FI, GR)
Figure 3: Electricity annual demand on a country level (HR, HU, IE, NL, NO, PT)
Figure 4: Electricity annual demand on a country level (RO, RS, SE, SK)
Figure 5: Electricity annual demand on a country level (AL, BA, CY, EE, IS, LT, LU, LV)
Figure 6: Electricity annual demand on a country level (ME, MK, MT, NI, SI)
1.1.2. Gas Demand

1.1.2.1. Country level sectoral split

The following maps display the annual gas demand on a country level basis. The size of the pie chart is representative of the volume of demand, with the sectoral share displayed as well as an indication if this volume is an increase or decrease when compared to the historic average (calculated from 2010 to 2016).

2020 – Best Estimate

Figure 7: 2020 Best Estimate: Country level gas demand with sectoral split, with relative change to historic average
Figure 8: 2025 Best Estimate – coal before gas sensitivity: Country level gas demand with sectoral split, with relative change to historic average
2025 – Gas Before Coal

![Figure 9: 2025 Best Estimate – gas before coal sensitivity: Country level gas demand with sectoral split, with relative change to historic average](image)
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2030 – Sustainable Transition

Figure 10: 2030 Sustainable Transition: Country level gas demand with sectoral split, with relative change to historic average
2030 – Distributed Generation

Figure 11: 2030 Distributed Generation: Country level gas demand with sectoral split, with relative change to historic average
2030 – External scenario based on EUCO30

Figure 12: 2030 External Scenario based on EUCO30: Country level gas demand with sectoral split, with relative change to historic average
2040 – Sustainable Transition

Figure 13: 2040 Sustainable Transition: Country level gas demand with sectoral split, with relative change to historic average
2040 – Distributed Generation

Figure 14: 2040 Distributed Generation: Country level gas demand with sectoral split, with relative change to historic average
Figure 15: 2040 Global Climate Action: Country level gas demand with sectoral split, with relative change to historic average
1.1.2.2. Gas High Demand Cases

The following charts show the high demand cases displayed by the 2 week and peak\(^1\) requirements, on a country level basis.

2020 – Best Estimate

\[\text{Figure 16: Gas high demand cases – 2020 Best Estimate}\]

\(^1\) 2 week high demand case: Maximum aggregation of gas demand reached over 14 consecutive days once every twenty years in each country to capture the influence of a long cold spell.

Peak day: Maximum level of gas demand used for the design of the network in each country to capture maximum transported energy and ensure consistency with national regulatory frameworks.
2025 – Coal Before Gas

Figure 17: Gas high demand cases – 2025 Coal Before Gas

2025 – Gas Before Coal

Figure 18: Gas high demand cases – 2025 Gas Before Coal
2030 – Sustainable Transition

Figure 19: Gas high demand cases – 2030 Sustainable Transition

2030 – Distributed Generation

Figure 20: Gas high demand cases – 2030 Distributed Generation
2030 – External scenario based on EUCO30

Figure 21: Gas high demand cases – 2030 External Scenario based on EUCO30

2040 – Sustainable Transition

Figure 22: Gas high demand cases - 2040 Sustainable Transition
2040 – Distributed Generation

Figure 23: Gas high demand cases – 2040 Distributed Generation

2040 – Global Climate Action

Figure 24: Gas high demand cases - 2040 Global Climate Action
1.2. Supply

1.2.1. Installed Capacity

Installed capacity on a country level, grouped by aggregate size of installed capacity.

Figure 25: Country level installed capacity by scenario (DE, ES, FR, GB, IT, PL, TR)

Figure 26: Country level installed capacity by scenario (AT, BE, BG, CH, CZ, DK)
Figure 27: Country level installed capacity by scenario (FI, GR, HR, HU, IE, NL)

Figure 28: Country level installed capacity by scenario (NO, PT, RO, RS, SE, SK)
Figure 29: Country level installed capacity by scenario (AL, BA, CY, EE, IS, LT, LU)

Figure 30: Country level installed capacity by scenario (LV, ME, MK, MT, NI, SI)
1.2.2. Electricity generation mixes

2020 - Best Estimate

Figure 31: 2020 Best Estimate: Electricity generation mix and import/export balance
2025 - Coal Before Gas

Figure 32: 2025 Best Estimate – coal before gas: Electricity generation mix and import/export balance
2025 - Gas Before Coal

Figure 33: 2025 Best Estimate – gas before coal: Electricity generation mix and import/export balance
2030 - Sustainable Transition

Figure 34: 2030 Sustainable Transition: Electricity generation mix and import/export balance
2030 - Distributed Generation

Figure 35: 2030 Distributed Generation: Electricity generation mix and import/export balance
2030 - External Scenario based on EUCO30

Figure 36: 2030 External Scenario based on EUCO30: Electricity generation mix and import/export balance
2040 – Sustainable Transition

Figure 37: 2040 Sustainable Transition: Electricity generation mix and import/export balance
2040 – Distributed Generation

Figure 38: 2040 Distributed Generation: Electricity generation mix and import/export balance
2040 – Global Climate Action

Figure 39: 2040 Global Climate Action: Electricity generation mix and import/export balance
1.2.3. Gas supply potentials

1.2.3.1. Indigenous production

Indigenous production covers supplies from inside EU coming from conventional national production and other non-fossil sources like biomethane and power-to-gas (P2G). Scenarios consider the role green gases will take in the European supply mix directly linked to the storylines.

The information on EU conventional production has been collected from TSOs and it is expected to decrease significantly over the next 20 years. ENTSOG has likewise used TSOs estimates on biomethane injection evolving differently for the three scenarios. Power-to-gas has been also considered in two out of three scenarios following the assumptions for P2G in Global Climate Action and Distributed Generation have been built out of a top-down methodology.

Taking into account the scenarios chosen based on stakeholder feedback and that no inputs were shown by gas TSOs during the data collection process, no shale gas from inside EU has been taking into account at all during the whole time horizon of this report.

Figure 40: Potential EU indigenous and green gases production 2018-2040

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2040</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bcma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Production</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomethane GCA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2G GCA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomethane DG</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2G DG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomethane ST</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2G ST</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Potential EU indigenous and green gases production 2018-2040
1.2.3.2. Extra-EU Supply Potentials

Supply potentials have been developed for the purpose of this Scenario Building Report as the reasonable prospective supplies coming from current and realistic new sources known until today. The word “potential” means that these gas supplies cannot be considered as forecasts of future flows. In order to capture the uncertainty in the development of supplies, ranges have been defined for each source to assume their minimum and maximum as the lower and upper limits for the imports.

The development of the supply potentials is based on publicly available information, reports and recent news. These potentials cover supplies from outside EU coming from Russia, Norway, Algeria, Libya, Azerbaijan, LNG and other new potential sources. For those sources exporting gas, both as pipeline-bounded gas and LNG, the potential supplies have been treated separately in order to avoid double counting. Each supply potential is developed independently and no specific likelihood is defined.

It is important to highlight that all potential gas supplies from outside EU are independent to the main scenarios. Supply potentials provide ranges to the assessment model where the supply mixes are assessed during the TYNDP simulations stage to then obtain the final supply mixes results.

Where historical ranges are shown, this is based on data available from 2009 to 2016, unless otherwise stated.
Russian pipeline supply potentials
These supply potentials define a possible range of Russian gas exports to Europe via pipeline. Although some sources report that Russia has the potential to increase both production and gas exports to Europe by around 100 bcm, this potential would lead to figures exceeding 250 bcm meaning to the overall EU demand a share of Russian gas in the European supply of over 50% which is currently not seen as realistic. The potential range of Russian pipeline supply has been estimated as follows:

- **Maximum Russian pipeline gas potential:**
  Own methodology based on Russian Production IEA World Energy Outlook 2016 - New Policies Scenarios - multiplied by the historical maximum share (29%) exported to EU (source BP Statistical).

- **Minimum Russian pipeline gas potential:**
  This potential has been determined based on the publication "The Political and Commercial Dynamics of Russia’s Gas Export Strategy" (Oxford Institute for Energy Studies, James Henderson & Tatiana Mitrova, September 2015), “Europe 70% ToP” evolution shown in Figure 12: Potential impact of new Asian contracts on Russian gas exports in a worst case scenario in Europe.

Russian also exports in the form of LNG but these volumes are part of the LNG analysis.

![Figure 41: Potential pipeline gas potentials from Russia](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pipeline Gas Supply Potential for Russia</th>
<th>bcma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bcma</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 2: Potential pipeline gas potentials from Russia (bcma)*
Norwegian pipeline supply potentials

These supply potentials define a possible range of Norwegian gas exports to Europe via pipeline. The Norwegian supply potentials are based on data coming from the Norwegian Petroleum Directorate (NPD) / Ministry of Petroleum and Energy (MPE) as provided by GASSCO. The potential range of Norwegian supply has been estimated as follows:

- **Maximum Norwegian pipeline gas potential:**
  This potential represents the exports from Norway where the maximum level is the development of annual exports as estimated on the maximum scenario (from the Norwegian Petroleum Directorate) including existing fields, discoveries and yet to find fields, extrapolated from 2035 to 2040.

- **Minimum Norwegian pipeline gas potentials:**
  This potential takes the lowest of the minimum imports of 2009-2016 (93 bcma) interpolated to the production sales forecast in 2015 of resources in existing fields (Norwegian Petroleum Directorate), extrapolated between 2035 and 2040.

Norwegian LNG exports are part of the LNG potentials featured later in this report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pipeline Gas Supply Potential for Norway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bcma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Potential pipeline gas potentials from Norway (bcma)
Algerian pipeline supply potentials

Algeria ranks in the top ten of countries with the largest gas reserves in the world, being the second largest reserves in Africa. Its export potential will highly depend on the amount of new investments in the upstream sector. In order to define its maximum and minimum supply potentials ENTSOG has considered different sources:

- **Maximum Algerian pipeline gas potential**
  Own methodology based on Algerian Production IEA World Energy Outlook 2016 - New Policies Scenarios - multiplied by the historical maximum share (43%) exported to EU (source BP Statistical).

- **Minimum Algerian pipeline gas potential**
  Based on Oxford report May 2016 “Algerian Gas: Troubling Trends, Troubled Policies” starting on recently observed historical minimum (from BP Statistical) interpolated to 2025.

Algeria also exports LNG which is included as part of the LNG analysis.

![Figure 43: Potential pipeline gas potentials from Algeria](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2040</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum</strong></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimum</strong></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4: Potential pipeline gas potentials from Algeria (bcm)**
Libyan pipeline supply potentials
Libya is currently the smallest gas supplier of the EU via Green Stream pipeline which connects Libya to Italy with a total capacity of around 12 bcm. In 2011 Libyan exports to Italy were completely interrupted due to the civil turmoil and Masra El-Brega LNG plant was damaged and since then Libya has not exported LNG again. ENTSOG has considered a maximum and a minimum potential for Libyan pipe exports based on different assumptions:

- **Maximum Libyan pipeline gas potential**
  Based on the technical export capacity of Greenstream pipeline (354 GWh/d), the maximum potential assumes a 90% load factor of the pipeline (319 GWh/d)

- **Minimum Libyan pipeline gas potential**
  The minimum potential is based on the average of the two lowest exporting years 2.5 bcm in 2011 and 4.4 bcm in 2016.

![Figure 44: Potential pipeline gas potentials from Libya](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pipeline Gas Supply Potential for Libya</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bcma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 5: Libya pipeline gas potential potentials (bcm)*
Azeri pipeline supply potentials
Shah Deniz phase 1 production has already started and will remain stable and limited to regional markets. ENTSOG considers as potential Azeri supply for EU gas coming from phase 2 starting as of 2019.

- **Maximum Azeri pipeline gas potential**
  This potential considers the 10 bcm/a (110 TWh/y) for the EU market as it was done in TYNDP 2017. The ramp-up phase gradually increases the gas imports from 2019 to 2022.

- **Minimum Azeri pipeline gas potential**
  With the final decision of the aforementioned transit route, the likelihood of receiving some gas can now be considered sure. Hence, this minimum potential has been set at 80 % of the maximum one.

![Figure 45: Pipeline gas potentials from Azerbaijan](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pipeline Gas Supply Potential for Azerbaijan</th>
<th>bcma</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2040</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Pipeline gas potentials from Azerbaijan (bcma)
LNG supply potentials

LNG is traded in a global market which has been constantly growing during the last decades. By giving access to a large variety of sources and routes, LNG makes gas reserves around the world accessible to the EU market. The ranges defined for the LNG import potentials reflect the particularly high uncertainty in the level of LNG supplies to Europe.

**Maximum LNG potential**

New LNG export capacity based on WEO 2016 New Policies scenario trading mix from Middle East, Australia, North America, Sub Saharan Africa and Latin America. Starting point of 83 bcm as the historical year peak imports (2011, BP Statistical 2012) plus additional surplus from the net exporting regions projected in the WEO 2016 (NPS) for 2025 and 2040. Highest historical maximum share is applied to the LNG exporting regions ME, North Africa, Sub Saharan Africa. For N. America, S. America and Australia the share is estimated based on WEO trading flows. Other LNG exports are considered as from Russia (WEO) and Norway (considering their 90% capacity). Some values have been rounded for maximization on a statistical 95% sensitivity.

![Figure 46: Maximum LNG potential](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2040</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Middle East</strong></td>
<td>46</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>North Africa</strong></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SS Africa</strong></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S. America</strong></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N. America</strong></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Australia</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>101</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- **Minimum LNG potential**

  The minimum supply potential has been defined on the assumption of a decrease of the imports to a 70% of the minimum EU imports between 2009 and 2016 and applying the exporting share to all regions excluding Australia which minimum has been kept as zero.

![Figure 47: Minimum LNG potential](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2040</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Africa</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS Africa</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. America</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. America</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 8: LNG minimum potentials (bcma)*
1.3. Marginal Cost

Figure 48: AL – DK Marginal cost on a country level basis, scenario comparison €/MWh
Figure 49: EE – IS Marginal cost on a country level basis, scenario comparison €/MWh
Figure 50: IT – NO Marginal cost on a country level basis, scenario comparison €/MWh
Figure 51: PL – TR Marginal cost on a country level basis, scenario comparison €/MWh