



Infrastructure project questionnaire

Previous questionnaire still fitting the purpose?

Background

A common commitment of ENTSOG and promoters

- > Promoters are responsible for the submission of their projects to TYNDP 2015 process including the ones already part of TYNDP 2013
- > Every project submitted with all required data will be included in TYNDP 2015

Definition of the dataset

- > Based on TYNDP 2013 experience and new requirement deriving from new Ten-E Regulation
- > Only data ENTSOG is able to justify the use in TYNDP or CBA will be asked
- > When ENTSOG knows a data will not be submitted, better not to ask for it as it will lead to an incomplete picture of the situation in the report

Data processing by ENTSOG

- > Questionnaire, process and use of data is the same for each promoter (being a TSO or a third party)
- > All collected data will be published as part of TYNDP main report or annexes (possible aggregation in case cost data are collected)

General Information

Background of the project

- > Genesis and rationale of project as seen by the promoter
- > Objective of the project:
 - To meet specific criteria of new TEN-E Regulation (Security of Supply, Sustainability, Competition or Market Integration)
 - To answer market demand
 - To provide an import route for new supply contracts (infrastructure plus gas project)

Involved parties

- > Identification of project promoter(s)
- > Identification of future infrastructure operator(s)
- > Existing inter-governmental agreement

Exemption

- > Third party access: granted exemption (which %) or application for exemption
- > Exemption of regulated tariff

Specific project information

Consistency with ENTSOG network topology

- > A correct assessment of projects requires their accurate insertion in ENTSOG topology (balancing zones interlinked by aggregated Interconnection Points)
- > Based on existing infrastructures and already submitted projects, the questionnaire will limit the choice to a predefined list of points (list can be enlarged by ENTSOG at promoter request)

Project variants and steps

- > The same questionnaire may include several variants but promoters have to indicate the one to be used for modelling/assessment
- > The same questionnaire may include different steps (pairs of capacity increment and commissioning date) as long as they have the same FID status
- > If steps are of different FID status they should be provided through different questionnaires

Questionnaire for GRIP second edition



Microsoft Excel
97-2003 Worksheet

Improvements to be discussed

- > Additional data
- > No longer required data
- > Definition to be fine tuned (e.g. project steps)
- > Category of barriers to investment

Project status

Final Investment Decision

- > A significant criteria influencing the assessment of all infrastructure projects in TYNDP/ESW but also in each PS-CBA
- > Therefore a definition of FID has been introduced based on Regulation for Infrastructure notification: *“the decision taken at the level of an undertaking to definitively earmark funds for the investment phase of a project”*

Project of Common Interest

- > Promoters should indicate if they are part of the first PCI list
- > Promoters will be invited to indicate if they consider to apply for the second selection (including PCI promoter selected in the first list)
- > It is reminded to all promoters that they shall be part of TYNDP 2015 in order to be able to take part to the second PCI selection

Project implementation

- > Promoters will be asked to indicate the phasing and current status of their projects including main challenge/barrier they are facing

Financing information

Cost of the project

- > In previous edition, only 35% of projects were provided with a total CAPEX information making impossible to provide any information on investment need/potential
- > PS-CBA will require higher level of detail in costs (CAPEX and OPEX broken-down by country and year)
- > Is there a consensus to provide an aggregated CAPEX figure by every promoter to be published in TYNDP? Or aggregated by type of projects and FID status

Financing structure

- > Shareholding of the project
- > Cost of capital is represented by the Financial Discount Rate but this data is often confidential



Thank You for Your Attention

Olivier Lebois

Business Area Manager, System Development

ENTSOG -- European Network of Transmission System Operators for Gas
Avenue de Cortenbergh 100, B-1000 Brussels

EML: Aolivier.Lebois@entsog.eu

WWW: www.entsog.eu