			Will you be	Contact Details				L	Level of Participation	According to your opinion does the project plan for the development of a network code on Interoperability and Data Exchange Rules contained in this document provide sufficient basis for a quality stakeholder involvement given the timelines within which this project must be delivered? If no please propose any improvements to be made.	response (section 3) t is confidenti al - please	What do you think of the proposed timeline, including frequency and number of meetings? Are any changes needed?	The above respons (section 5) is confider ial - please indicate	scheduling for each Stakeholder Joint Working Session (SIWS)? Which other tonics might be	The above response (section 7 is confident al - please indicate i true	What is your view on our ideas regarding use of webinars, teleconferencing? Do you have any other suggestions that might		Do you have any other comments or observations yo would like to make?	The above response (section 11) is confidenti al - please indicate if true
First and Last name:	Company Name:	Job Title:	representing an association:	g Email:	Tel:	Mobile: Street:	Postal Code: City: C	country: F	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Open-Ended Response		se Open-Ended Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response	Open-Ended Response	Response
Christian Sidak	EconGas GmbH			christian.sidak@econ gas.com	1 +43 (0) 50205 8413	+43 (0) 664 88456913 Ares Tow Donau-Ci Strasse 1:	ty- 1220 Vienna 🖟	ustria 2	2 - Active SJWS participan	t Yes.	No	The timeline is ambitious and out of experience might be to short. A fourth workshop should be scheduled just in case.		The topics and the scheduling is sufficient.	No	Good idea.	No		No
Bram De Wispelaere	EDF Luminus	sr. Regulatory Manager Mar		bram.dewispelaere@ edfluminus.be		+32 (0)498 1 Rue 94 83 77 Marquis	1000 Brussels E	elgium 4	4 - Observer	confidential response	Yes	confidential response	Yes	confidential response	Yes	confidential response	Yes	confidential response	Yes
Monica Immovilli	Edison Sp <i>A</i>	A Regulatory Af	fairs No	monica.immovilli@et ison.it	d 3.9E+11	i 3.937E+12 ^F oro Buonapai	te ²⁰¹²¹ Milano l	aly 2	2 - Active SJWS participan	Edison thinks that the Project Plan, by replicating the positive experiences undertaken by ENTSOG with the previous Network Codes on CAM and Gas Balancing, t represents a good basis to ensure an adequate level of involvement for all interested stakeholders, who will be given a reasonable number of opportunities to interact with ENTSOG on its proposals.	≥ No	Edison thinks that the proposed timeline is appropriate and no changes are needed.	No	The scheduling of the SJWSs seems appropriate and consistent. In particular, it is useful holding a last SJWS on feedback and summary, where stakeholder may discuss changes made by ENTSOG on the previous drafts circulated. However, we are concerned on the possibility that the topic of "capacity calculation" might be excluded by the Interoperability Network Code and being simply included in the CAM Code. We understand and agre that some guidance on common criteria for capacity calculation have to be specified within the CAM Network Code, to ensure consistency in the calculation of bundled capacity. Nevertheless, we would like to have more precise rules on capacity calculation methodologies in the Interoperability Network Code. This will also allow stakeholders to actively participate to the definition of rules on capacity calculation, which would not be the case if rules were only agreed in the CAM comitology	e	The use of webinars, in particular, is a very good tool to ensure that all stakeholders have the possibility to take part to the process and interact with ENTSOG during the SIWS, overcoming problems related to travel arrangements.	: No	We do not have additional comments.	No
Valentina Giust	Sorgenia SpA	Gas Regulatic Analyst	on No	valentina.giust@sorg nia.it	ge +39 02 67194395	via Vincenzo Viviani 12		aly r	3 - Consultation respondent	Yes.	No	Considering the very tight timescale for developing the network code, we believe that the proposed timeline should be appropriate.	No	Sorgenia agrees with both topics and scheduling proposed for each SJWS. Moreover, we propose the introduction of the possibility for stakeholders to include, during the network code developing proces other topics they evaluate worthy to be more deepened.	s, No	As already underlined in response to other ENTSOG consultations, we would recommend the use of streaming tools or videoconferences in order to involve as many operators as possible in all ENTSO-G meetings and discussions.		No.	No
Nabil Mezlef	EDF	Regulatory ac	lviser	nabil.mezlef@edf.fr	+33 1 40 42 30 84	+33 6 65 06 55 52 22-30 avenue do Wagram	e 75382 Paris Cedex 08	rance 4	4 - Observer	The status of the capacity calculation chapter should be made clearer.	No		No		No	Teleconferencing and webinars are very useful tools.	No	No	No
Filip Sleeuwagen	EFET	Head of Commercial Affairs	Yes	f.sleeuwagen@efet.o	or 3.25E+10	Correggio raat 93	st 1000 Brussels E	elgium 1	1 - Prime mover	YES	No	NO, demending on the level of particpation to the SJWSs and the feedback/validation loop efficiency afterwards	No			anything that lowers the threshold to participate in these meetings is welcome	No		
Alexander Kronimus	VCI	7 (1911)	Cefic	cni@cefic.be	02 676 72 42			2	2 - Active SJWS participan	t confidential response	Yes	confidential response	Yes	confidential response	Yes	confidential response	Yes	confidential response	Yes
Noël Coupaye		! Delegate to E tu Institutions	U	noel.coupaye@gdfsu z.com	ue 33 1 46 52 75 17	11 rue Michel Ricard	92270 Bois Colombes F	rance 2	2 - Active SJWS participan	Yes, the way the project plan is designed provides sufficient basis for a good t involvement of a great number of stakeholders, considering the deadline granted to draft the network code.	No d	The timeline proposed is really tight if we consider technical issues to solve. The opportunity given throughout the consultation process to have informal contacts between ENTSOG and some stakeholders -on a bilateral basis- is welcomed. This may be helpful when a specific issue concerns only one stakeholder.	No	It seems questionable to differ to the comitology process the issue of capacity calculation, where no industrial operators may take part of the debate. It seems to us that whatever the network code concerned, an agreement on this issue must imply stakeholders.	No	Without deleting meetings in Brussel, the possibility to attend meetings through webinars or teleconferencing is an option to keep.	No	No further comments to add.	No
Valentin Höhn	VIK Germany	Regulation manager	IFIEC Europe	e v.hoehn@vik.de		0049-160- 99332242 Richard- Wagner- Str 41	45128 Essen (Germany 2	2 - Active SJWS participan	t									
Davide Rubini	Statoil	Regulatory Advisor	OGP	drub@statoil.com	0049 89	Av. de 3.248E+10 Cortenbe 71	rg 1000 Brussels E	ielgium 1	L - Prime mover	We are satisfied with the degree of openness showed by ENTSOG in the past and we trust the same to be true in the future. We believe that the proposed project plan provides a sound basis for quality stakeholder involvement, provided that ENTSOG also remains open to ad hoc conversations with individual stakeholders that go beyond the formal and official gatherings.	No	Foreseen timeline and frequency of formal meetings are appropriate.	No	Although the focus of Interconnection Agreements may be on operational and technical issues - which may primarily be considered a TSO-TSO matter, mar of the aspects that will be decided upon may also affect network users connected to the system (SSOs, LSOs, DSOs, producers and consumers). In addition matters such as gas quality, dodrisation, communication protocols and units will directly affe network users. We believe it will be very challenging to handle these issues in 2 SJWS only an suggest that the 3rd SJWS is used to have further discussions on issues that were raised in the earlier SJWS. In addition, ENTSOG should remain open to bilateral meetings, in particular in the period between the last SJWS and preceding the first consultation workshop when no public events are foreseen. We support having a discussion about capacity calculation in order to try and align the definitions used by TSOs for baseline capacity, additional capacity and interruptible capacity, additional capacity and interruptible capacity. This we believe — is not fully covered by the Commission' proposal for amendment of the CAM NC.	ct d No	Sharing of all material used during working session and workshop is a good practice and should be maintained, equally the use of webinar and teleconferencing allow for the widest participation possible and should be maintained.	No	No.	No
Thomas Deuschle	Thüga AG	DiplWirtIn	g.	thomas.deuschle@th uega.de	38197120 5			Germany 2	2 - Active SJWS participan	t									

Giuliano Basso	energy solutions	senior associate		gbasso@energy- solutions.com	3.53E+11 3.934E+1	10 rue nicolas l petit, 2 2	L- Luxembo I 2326 urg I	Luxembou rg	? - Active SJWS participant	I think that the plan is consistent with the objectives of the EC's invitation to develop the NC. The timing of JSW2 "Data Exchange + Units" could be tight considering that the analysis of the complexity and of the numerous protocols today in use could be time consuming. It depends very much on what detail of normalization / standardization in this domain has to be achieved.	No	It seems appropriate, although it depends on what will be the decision about the degree of detail of normalization / standardizations in this domain has to be achieved by the JSW2.	It reflects the structure of the Framework Guidelines No issued by ACER	State of art	No	NO	No
Kees Bouwens		Advisor	VAC OGP	kees.bouwens@exxon mobil.com	3.18E+10 3.165E+1	Graaf 1 10 Engelbertla an 75 (1837 1 DS Breda (Netherlan ds	l - Prime mover	We are satisfied with the degree of openness showed by ENTSOG in the past and we trust the same to be true in the future. We believe that the proposed project plan provides a sound basis for quality stakeholder involvement, provided that ENTSOG also remains open to ad hoc conversations with individual stakeholders that go beyond the formal and official gatherings.	No	Foreseen timeline and frequency of formal No meetings are ok.	Although the focus of Interconnection Agreements may be on operational and technical issues - which may primarily be considered a TSO-TSO matter - many of the aspects that will be decided upon may also affect network users connected to the system (SSOs, LSOs, DSOs, producers and consumers). In addition matters such as gas quality, odorisation, communication protocols and units will directly affect network users. We believe it will be very challenging to handle these issues in 2 SIWS only and suggest that the 3rd SIWS is used to have further discussions on issues that were raised in the earlier SIWS. In addition, ENTSOG should remain open to bilateral meetings, in particular in the period between the last SIWS and preceding the first consultation workshop when no public events are foreseen. We support having a discussion about capacity calculation in order to try and align the definitions used by TSOs for baseline capacity, additional capacity and interruptible capacity, This – we believe – is not fully covered by the Commission's proposal for amendment of the CAM NC.	Sharing of all material used during working session and workshop is a good practice and should be maintained, equally the use of webinar and teleconferencing allow for the widest participation possible and should be maintained.			No
Hein-Bert Schurink	Energie- Nederland	Theme Manager Gas	Yes	hbschurink@energie- nederland.nl	+31 70 +31 6 3114371 51784015		2511 The	The Netherlan ds	3 - Consultation respondent	Yes.	No	No comment. No	Energie-Nederland is surprised by the treatment of capacity calculation (page 4): "The Commission is considering making use of its right of proposal to put forward a text for comitology in this regard" in the CAM network code. Our association and the members we represent are not involved in the comitology process. Consequently Energie-Nederland will not be able to comment, debate and finally propose among different solutions regarding capacity	Webinars and teleconferencing are very useful for members who are not able to come to the SJWS.	No	Not comments at the momen	it. No
Valentina Garruto	eni	Gas & Power European Regulatory Affairs - Manager		valentina.garruto@en i.com	+39 06 3 598 22579	Piazzale Enrico Mattei, 1	144 Rome I	Italy 2	2 - Active SJWS participant	The Project Plan seems to allow stakeholders to be fully involved in the network code development process.	No	The timeline set in the Project Plan is consistent with the need to assure a proper level of stakeholders' involvement while delivering the No network code within the deadline indicated by the European Commission.	Topic and scheduling of SJWS are in line with the objective of the process. As for the "capacity calculation" topic, we think stakeholders should have the opportunity to contribute to the definition of the new rules. This would not be possible if these rules are discussed only in the CAM Comitology process. Therefore, the "capacity calculation" topic should be kept in the scope of the Interoperability NC.	We strongly support the use of webinars and teleconferencing.	No		
Philipp Palada	GIE	Vice Executive Secretary	GIE I	philipp.palada@gie.e u	0032 2 0032 495 2090507 298290	Av. Cortenberg h 100	1000 Brussels	Belgium 1	L - Prime mover	yes, the project plan provides sufficient basis	No	for the moment we do not see any need for change, in the course of the process there may No be reason for further need of meetings.	Proposed topics match with scope of process No	last webinars of ENTSOG were very good	No	no	No
Rafael del Rio	IBERDROLA, S.A.	, Head of Gas Regulatory Affairs	i	rafael.delrio@iberdrol a.es	784 21 99	Redondo 1,	28033 Madrid 5	Spain r	3 - Consultation respondent	Yes	No	We agree with the timeline. No		We support the use of media as webinars and teleconferencing.	No		
Marc Malbrancke	INTER- REGIES Utility	Deputy Director Advisor	CEDEC	marc.malbrancke@int er-regies.be dirk-	t 0032 2 0032 473 217 81 17 52 03 27	Rue Royale 55 b10	1000 Brussels	Belgium 4	1 - Observer								
Dirk Jan Meuzelaai	r Support Group	commercial and	IFIFC and	uirk- jan.meuzelaar@usgbv .com	(31)65119 834	2 Transportla 6 an 123 (Netherlan ds	2 - Active SJWS participant	will be answed by IFIEC		will be answed by IFIEC	will be answed by IFIEC	will be answed by IFIEC		will be answed by IFIEC	
Christian Nitsche		Senior Advisor Regulatory Compliance	No I	ch.nitsche@enbw.co m	+49 721 +49 160 63-23076 90568005	Durlacher Allee 93	76131 Karlsruhe(Germany	B - Consultation respondent								
Jacques van de Worp	VEMW	senior policy advisor	IFIEC Europe j	jvdw@vemw.nl	++31(0)34 ++31(0)6 5 8 48 43 50 17 60 86	n 8	3447 Woerden I	The Netherlan 2 ds	2 - Active SJWS participant		No	No	No	It is just great to have the possibility to follow the workshops from a distance with both sound and imag and to be in communication / discussion via web-mails and sms.			No
Paul Zepf	EUROMOT	Technical Manager	EUROMOT - The European Association of Internal Combustion Engine Manufacture	paul.zepf@euromot.e u	² 4.97E+11	Lyoner [Strasse 18 6	D- Frankfurt 50528 /Main	Germany 2	2 - Active SJWS participant								
Joost Gottmer	Alliander	Policy advisor	Cedec	Joost.gottmer@allian der.com	3.17E+10 3.165E+1	10 Postbus 50, 6 2pb1160 /	5920 Duiven I	The Netherlan 1 ds	L - Prime mover	with the exeption of the dataexchange rules we think that the project plan is OK	No	the topic of dataexchange rules is very specific and need to be developed carfully, since these systems are integrated into business processes on data collection and validation within companies. A seperate SJWS and/or more time might he nessessary.	with the exemption on dataexchange rules the SJWS's No are wel scheduled	At thi smoment we have no suggestions	No	we hope the process, despite time constyraints, is flexible enough for changes	

Christian Thole	GEODE	GEODE	info@geode.de	+49 30 611 28 40 70	Magazinstr aße 15-16	10179 Berlin	Germany	2 - Active SJWS participant	Most topics of the Interoperability and Data Exchange NC will have an impact on DSO level. Therefore, during the elaboration process both direct and potential indirect effects on DSOs have to be considered and the represantatives of DSOs should be involved in the elaboration process. A good example for such a fruitful cooperation is the elaboration process of the NC on Gas Balancing where numerous ENTSOG-DSO meetings have been held. GEODE is ready to cooperate with ENTSOG in the elaborating process of the Network Code.	No	GEODE approves it.	No	GEODE approves it. N	No GEO	DDE approves it.	No		No
Daniel Hec	MARCOGAZ Secretary Gene	ral Yes		: +32 2 237 +33 6 72 2 11 35 48 14	7 Avenue Palmerston 4	B- 1000 BRUSSELS	BELGIUM	2 - Active SJWS participant	Strictly limiting the NG to cross boarder issues without checking the implications for the whole gas chain can limit the aim to built a well functioning internal gas market.		No comment		O.K.					
Ruud Wassen	Eurogas Eu Affairs Direc	tor yes		0032 2 894 48 07	Av. de Cortenberg h 172	1000 Brussels	Belgium	3 - Consultation respondent										
Maria Schina	DIRECTOR OF DEPA S.A. REGULATORY AFFAIRS		m.schina@depa.gr	3.02E+11 3.07E+1		141 ATHENS	GREECE	3 - Consultation respondent	Yes, the basis is sufficient for a quality stakeholder involvement.	No	The frequency as well as the number of meetings are satisfactory for the given timeline	. No	We believe that the topics are covered sufficiently in each SJWS.	No obvi	binars and teleconferencing viously enhance the whole cedure of the stakeholders' ive participation.	No	We do not have any further comments to make.	No
Margot Loudon	Eurogas Deputy Secreta General	ry Yes	mal@eurogas org	+32 2 894 +32 2 894 48 03 48 00	Avenue de Cortenberg h 172	1000 Brussels	Belgium	2 - Active SJWS participant	Eurogas thinks it does. We are pleased to think that public consultation will respect future ACER framework.		Timeline seems fine and we don't think presently that any changes are needed.	No	Topic and scheduling of SJWS seem fine. However, Eurogas is surprised by the treatment of capacity calculation (page 4): "The Commission is considering making use of its right of proposal to put forward a text for comitology in this regard" in the CAM network code. In which network code the subject should be handled is not really the issue. But the issue is that our association and the members we represent are not involved in the comitology process. Consequently EUROGAS will not be able to comment, debate and finally propose among different solutions regarding capacity calculation.	No shou for t	binars and teleconferencing uld be used for each SJWS and the launching of the formal sultation.	No	No comments	No
Cristiano Francese	Trans- Commercial e Adriatic Analyst Pipeline Analyst		cristiano.francese@ta p-ag.com	0041 41 747 34 68	Lindenstras se 2	6340 Baar	Switzerlan d	2 - Active SJWS participant	TAP believes that the project plan for the development of a network code on Interoperability and Data Exchange contained in this document provides a sufficient basis for a quality stakeholder involvement.	No	TAP believes that the proposed timeline for development of the code is adequate to achiev the completion of the network code on Interoperability and Data Exchange. However, based on previous experience in participating i SJWS in ENTSOG, additional sessions to the one already included in the project plan may be needed, depending on the level of feedback an participation from stakeholders.	in No es	TAP believes that the project plan represents a solid starting point for the development of the network code on Interoperability and Data Exchange rules. It would be advisable however to have at least one session dedicated exclusively to Interconnection Agreements given the complexity of this particular section of the code, and the existence of different practices in Europe between TSOs in relation to Interconnection Agreements.	ENT: teleo the l No part that teleo ENT:	P fully supports the efforts of FSOG in using webseminars and econferencing in order to ensure largest stakeholder ticipation. TAP raccommends t tools as webseminars and econferencing are used by FSOG to the largest extent sible.	2	The network code on Interoperability and Data Exchange rules should be developed ensuring full compatibility with other network codes currently being developed by ENTSOG. In orde to avoid double work and a reopening of the process defined in the project plan under consultation, the full compatibility of the network code on Interoperability and Data Exchange rules with othe network codes should be ensured before the process described in the project plan is concluded and the code finalized.	er - d No
Peter Meeuwis	Advisor GasTerra BV Operational Optimisation	EASEE-gas	peter.meeuwis@gast erra.nl	+31 50 +31 6 3648628 11005105		9727 Groninge DL n	The Netherlan	2 - Active SJWS participant	confidential response	Yes	confidential response	Yes	confidential response Ye	res conf	fidential response	Yes	confidential response	Yes
Radko Manov	GA-MA AD Skopje Executive Manager	No	radko.manov@gama. com.mk	3.89E+10 3.897E+1	Bul. 10 Kliment Ohridski 54	1000 Skopje	Macedoni a	4 - Observer	Yes I	No	No changes needed	No	Satisfactory N	No Contusef	ntact on bilateral basis may be full	No	No	No
Peter Taff	Peter Taff Independent Consultant	No	petertaff@gmail.com	0044 7919 172 407 0044 7919 172 407	27	HA4 Ruislip 8QJ	UK	3 - Consultation respondent	Yes I	No	No	No	On Gas Quality the stated objective is to include "the cooperation of TSOs on the issue of gas quality". I think this will inevitably lead to some discussion of the respective contractual/liability obligations for Gas Quality of the TSOs and the Users at any IP, which in themselves may be "creating an obstacle to gas market integration" and should be included as an agenda item.	som the i othe No a nu part vide mee	could be done, I would welcome sort of "satellite" facility for meeting (e.g. in London and er locations where there may be umber of companies wishing to ticipate without travelling) with eo-conferencing links to the mail etting, perhaps convened by the al MS TSO?	e No	No	No
Catherine MARTIN	GrDF expert	no	catherine- a.martin@grdf.fr	3.32E+10 3.368E+1	10 6 rue Condorcet	75436 Paris Cedex 09	France	2 - Active SJWS participant	Yes	No	the timeline seems suitable. No change	No	The topics which seem the most important for us as a distribution operator are odorisation, gas quality and Number	OK f	for the use of webinars and econferencing as proposed.	No		No
Jean-Louis MARTINAUD	Deputy Directo GDF SUEZ for European Affairs	r No	jean- louis.martinaud@gdfs uez.com		1 Place	Paris La 92930 Défense Cedex		2 - Active SJWS participant	GDF SUEZ thinks it does. We are pleased to consider that public consultation will respect future ACER framework.	No	Timeline is quite short regarding some strategic questions this NC will raise among stakeholders (odourisation).		units. Topic and scheduling of SJWS seem fine. However, GDF SUEZ is disappointed by the treatment of capacity calculation (page 4): "The Commission is considering making use of its right of proposal to put forward a text for comitology in this regard" in the CAM network code. We do not really care in which network code the subject should be handled. The issue is that the industry is not involved in the comitology process. So, we will not be able to comment, debate and finally choose amongst different colutions or defair.	No shou the	binars and teleconferencing uld be use for each SJWS and fo launching of the formal isultation.	r No	No	No
Maja Celeska	GA-MA AD Chief od Department fo Macedonia Preparation	r yes	maja.ceieska@gama.c	+389 2 3118555 ext.124 +389 71 272 589	bul.Sv.Klim ent Ohridski 54	1000 Skopje	a	4 - Observer	confidential response	Yes	confidential response	Yes	confidential response Ye	es conf	ifidential response	Yes	confidential response	Yes
Sébastien Doligé	EURELECTRI C AISBL Adviser			140.80	Numahaat			3 - Consultation respondent										
	Thüga AG		eva.hennig@thuega.d	+49 89 38197 1232	Nymphenb urger Str.	80335 München	Germany	2 - Active SJWS participant										