The European Commission's science and knowledge service

.

2

Joint Research Centre

.30

de



Survey on distributed natural gas quality

Nicola Zaccarelli, Eveline Weidner, Daniele Melideo, Francesco Dolci

ENTSOG/CEN Joint Workshop, Brussels, 28.09.2017



Survey 2a - Data processing: expected results

- to produce maps at national and regional level (where regional means NUTS 3 level);
- to summarise and describe main statistical properties of aggregated and anonymised data for the Superior Wobbe Index and Superior Calorific Value;
- to elaborate the data to provide aggregated and anonymised descriptive statistical values covering the average behaviour and the rate of change by category of end-user.



Data received

Member state	Total number of points	
Austria (AT)	21	
Belgium (BE)	3	
Germany (DE)	33	
Spain (ES)	3	
France (FR)	1	
Italy (IT)	14	
Netherlands (NL)	7	
Poland (PL)	11	
Sweden (SE)	5	
United Kingdom (UK)	?	

Type of Point	Total number of points	
Distribution Line	31	
Residential	0	
Commercial	2	
Industry - combustion	16	
Industry - non combustion	6	
Power generation	4	
District heating	0	
Biomethane injection point	5	
Multiple assignations	34	

Time granularity	Total number of points	
Month	2	
Day	5	
Hour	75	
30 min	1	
15 min	6	
5-10 min	6	
1 min	1	
Irregular	2	

30+ participants to the survey Of the 98 data sets received, 69 were analysed for joint workshop



Data processing

- All data is converted to the same unit of measure and reference conditions (MJ/m³, 15°C/15°C, 101.325kPa), using the conversion factors listed in ISO 13443:1996 Natural Gas – Standard reference conditions.
- A check is carried out for missing values and 0's.
- A filter on the WI values is applied, discarding all values outside a range of 42 – 59 MJ/m³.
- Calibration measurements and obvious errors were excluded. Further data processing is necessary for some of the data sets.



Wobbe Index Range

Data analysis:

>Data is aggregated at MS level.

- Determine summary statistics: Minima, maxima, mean and standard deviations are obtained after applying filter.
- Percentiles starting from the lowest values and covering 5%, 25% (Q1), 50% (Q2), 75% (Q3), 95% of the data, are obtained.

Data aggregation per type of end-user limited due to insufficient number of data sets.



Wobbe Index range per MS



WI Range 5% to 95% percentile



Wobbe Index range per MS



WI Range all data



Wobbe Index range per MS – individual data sets





Wobbe Index: Frequency distribution

Data analysis:

- The values are binned into frequency classes, and the resulting frequency distribution plotted.
- Each class is defined by a lower limit, an upper limit and a binning step.





Wobbe Index – Violin plot

Data analysis:

A violin-plot provides a richer insight in the statistical properties of the distribution of a sample of data compared to a box-plot.





Wobbe Index - Violin Plot

Data analysis:

Violin plot – combination of box plot and frequency distribution

➢Aggregated data at MS level





Wobbe Index - Violin Plots per MS





N.B. The violin plot for Sweden extends outside the plotted range. Only hourly data are used.

Wobbe Index – Violin plot per type of point





a

A measure of risk



- A change in the Range -> "long-term" risk of moving to a different quality level within a time period (e.g., 24 hours)
- A change in the "Rate of change" -> "short-term" risk of a sudden (e.g., in one hour) change in the quality level within a time period (e.g., 24 hours)



Wobbe Index – CCDF range per time period

Data analysis – aggregated hourly data

- For aggregated data per MS, determine absolute maximum and minimum WI values per time period, calculate maximum range per time period (hour, day, week, month, 6 months).
- Calculate frequency distribution of WI ranges per time period. Count occurrence of range, group by threshold exceeded (0.5, 1.0, 1,5,... MJ/m³).
- The complementary cumulative distribution function (CCDF) is derived to express the risk of exceeding a certain threshold.





A CCDF represents the change in WI on the x axis and the probability of exceeding such value on the y axis.



















N.B. In the plot for Sweden the x-axis is cut-off at 5 for consistency with all other charts.



Wobbe Index – CCDF rate of change

Data analysis – aggregated hourly data

- ➢For aggregated data per MS, determine maximum rate of change and 2.5% percentile of rate of change per MS.
- Calculate frequency distribution of WI rate of change per time period. Count occurrence of rate of change, group by threshold exceeded (0.5, 1.0, 1,5,... MJ/m³).



























N.B. In the plot for Sweden the x-axis is cut-off at 5 for consistency with all other charts.

Wobbe Index - Violin Plot rate of change



Plot of the 97.5% percentile (i.e., top 2.5% extreme values) by MS for the rate of change calculated on the hourly time series.

N.B. In the plot for Sweden the x-axis is cut-off at 5 for consistency with all other charts.



Wobbe Index Range and Rate CCDF: 15min data







Conclusions – preliminary results

- Individual data sets typically do not contain the full range of WI values for a member state.
- Full WI range in some cases goes outside national specifications: data needs to be verified.
- 95% range of WI values always within national specifications.
- Some MSs seem to have a low exposure to big/moderate fluctuation of the rate of change.



Conclusions – data analysis

- The data analysis will be adapted according to the needs of TF1 (scenarios, assessment).
- Data processing not yet finalized, clarification and verification with data providers necessary.
- Additional filter to improve data quality to be discussed.



Conclusions – preliminary results

- Overview aggregated data at MS level
- Datasets with other time granularities included

MS	WI min (MJ/m ³)	WI max (MJ/m ³)	WI range (MJ/m ³)	Max RoC (MJ/(h x m ³))
AT	47.42	54.47	7.04	3.34
DE	46.31	55.16	8.85	3.11
ES	48.28	53.51	5.23	1.72
IT	47.95	52.29	4.34	3.48
NL	46.90	54.20	7.30	2.68
PL	47.70	52.24	4.54	2.73
SE	42.82	58.62	15.80	8.44



Data quality issues



Variation in the resolution of data sets, noise, missing data, reference conditions, ...



Conclusions: Data Collection

- Data coverage currently insufficient for detailed analysis at end-user level.
- We encourage a wider participation of stakeholders in sharing data.



Data contributions to Survey 2a



European Commission



Any questions?

You can find us at: nicola.zaccarelli@ec.europa.eu & eveline.weidner@ec.europa.eu

