

## CAM NC project plan response analysis

The table below is analysis the information provided by stakeholders which was provided to ENTSOG during the consultation on the CAM network code project plan consultation which was carried out from the 9th until the 23rd of February 2011.



Rev	Company/org	Contact	Level of participation	Comment	ENTSOG View
1	E.ON Ruhrgas	Friedrich von Burchard	1-Prime Mover		
2	GDF SUEZ	Claude Mangin	1-Prime Mover		
3	Swissgas	Saverino Scelzo	4-Inactive		
4	Gazprom Marketing & Trading	Alex Barnes	1-Prime Mover	A - The project plan is credible and a good way to do things given the very tight deadline within which we are all working.	
5	BG Italia	Emanuele Zucchini	4-Inactive		
6	RWE S&T	Ralf Presse	2-Active SJWS Participant		
7	Energie-Nederland	Hein-Bert	3-Consultation Respondent		
8	Centrica	Helen Stack	1-Prime Mover	A - We support the ENTSOG proposals and welcome the strong emphasis on stakeholder involvement.	
9	ExxonMobil	Kees Bouwens	1-Prime Mover	B - We have appreciated the way in which the ENTSOG Project Team on CAM has communicated with stakeholders during their preparations for the network code development process. We welcome the invitation to participate in the interactive design to contribute to the network code development process.	

10	Total	Mathieu Lanéelle	2-Active SJWS Participant	A - Providing updated versions of the documents on an extranet is better than sending documents by emails, as it is always difficult to find the latest version afterwards.	A - All material is already published on the ENTSOG website. However, the plan will be amended to highlight the envisaged document management through the website.
11	Tauerngasleitung	Peter J. Zehetner	2-Active SJWS Participant	A - As we did not get any information on the upcoming CAM process we recommend to also invite any possible new TSOs. From our point of view this is reasonable because the capacity allocation probably will be the commercial basis of all new pipeline projects in Europe.	A - All stakeholders, also TSOs which are not members of ENTSOG, are/were equally invited to actively participate and contribute to the process.
12	EDF	Jasmina Pierre, Anne-Elisabeth Moquet	3-Consultation Respondent	A - EDF would like to ask the possibility, as a consultation respondent, to attend the workshops as an auditor. B - EDF points out the importance of having an access to updated documents on the ENTSO-G website.	A - SJWSs are open to everyone. Level of active participation is of course free to choose, although ENTSOG favorably welcomes active contributors. B - All material is already published on the ENTSOG website. However, the plan will be amended to highlight the envisaged document management through the website.
13	Sorgenia S.p.A.	Valentina Giust	3-Consultation Respondent	A - We consider it appropriate to make all materials and documentation, concerning any discussion and meeting, available on the ENTSOG website, in order to enable all the consultation respondents to best participate in the network code development process.	A - All material is already published on the ENTSOG website. However, the plan will be amended to highlight the envisaged document management through the website.
14	VIK / IFIEC	Valentin Höhn	2-Active SJWS Participant		
15	Yara / IFIEC	Steinar Solheim	2-Active SJWS Participant		

16	Gasterra	A.J. Algra	2-Active SJWS Participant	<p>A - * In the phase of a concept NC, ENTSGO could work with a "commentary" matrix, stating the name of the commenting party, the comment and what ENTSGO did with the comment.</p> <p>* As a result of the consultation phase of the concept NC ENTSGO should write an extensive report about the comments made in the consultation process and the reasons these comments were accepted or rejected.</p> <p>* On the website of ENTSGO all relevant documents should be available in an orderly manner (at present this looks alright)</p> <p>B - PDF-forms to complete (such as this one) could be improved by adding fill-in fields and by having them separate from the main text for easy completion and returning.</p>	<p>A - It is foreseen by ENTSGO to document the comments received during the formal consultation (if not indicated as confidential) and to develop a consultation report providing an appropriate answer to all comments made (up to the extend this remains realistically feasible, taking the fixed timing dedicated to NC development into account). Comments made during meetings will be captured globally in meeting notes which will also be published on the ENTSGO website.</p> <p>B - The Project Plan will be amended to highlight the envisaged document management through the website.</p>
17	EconGas	Jörg Weissgerber	3-Consultation Respondent		
18	Dong Energy	Vagn Pedersen	3-Consultation Respondent		
19	Eni S.p.A	Francesca Zanella	2-Active SJWS Participant		
20	BP	Andrew Pearce	2-Active SJWS Participant	<p>A - Apart from holding additional workshops during the drafting process it is hard to see what else can be done in the tight time-scale.</p> <p>B - It is concerning that ACER are consulting on the framework guidelines when most of the ENTSGO stakeholder workshops are being conducted. If ACER change the guideline it is hard to see how a network code could be delivered by January 2012.</p>	<p>B - The EC has invited ENTSGO to develop the network code on the basis of ERGEG's work. ENTSGO is asked to assume that the ACER process will not introduce any significant changes impacting the deliverability of the NC. Unless there will be considerable changes during the ACER process, the code will have to be delivered within 12 months after the first invitation. ENTSGO is in contact with the EC on this issue.</p>
21	BG group	Mark Dalton	2-Active SJWS Participant		

22	EnBW	Dirk-Christof Stüdemann	2-Active SJWS Participant	<p>A - It is important that ENTSOG listens to all stakeholders regardless of their position in the market and must ensure that the NC reflects a non-discriminatory implementation of the Framework Guideline, i.e. ensuring that new and historic market players are equal.</p> <p>B - Although a certain level of participation has to be chosen upfront we would welcome ENTSOG to publish all relevant dates, notes and documents on a specially dedicated website with access for everyone.</p>	<p>A - The process envisaged by ENTSOG is transparent and open similarly to all parties regardless of their market position. All voiced opinions will be treated equally.</p> <p>B - The Project Plan will be amended to highlight the envisaged document management through the website.</p> <p>All dates for meetings, consultation etc. are available on the website.</p>
23	Edison SpA	Maria Elena Fumagalli	1-Prime Mover	<p>A - It is important that the publication of every part of the code is followed by a consultation window long enough (one or two weeks) to allow stakeholders to provide their comments.</p> <p>B - It should be clarified as soon as possible how possible amendments arising from the new version of the FGs that will be published by ACER could impact on the contents of the code that, by that date, will have already been approved and discussed with stakeholders.</p>	<p>A - There will be several occasions where NC linked issues are discussed with the market. For the SJWS the "launch documentation" will be published to start the process with sufficient time to review. Additionally, for each session material will be published beforehand as written in our guidelines.</p> <p>For the draft NC consultation there will be several weeks time to respond according to the Project Plan.</p> <p>A third round will be opened at the end of the process to assess overall support for the final document.</p> <p>B - The EC has invited ENTSOG to develop the network code on the basis of ERGEG's framework guideline. ENTSOG is asked to assume that the ACER process will not introduce any significant changes impacting the deliverability of the NC. Unless there will be considerable changes during the ACER process, the code will have to be delivered within 12 months after the first invitation. ENTSOG is in contact with the EC on this issue.</p>

24	Eurelectric	Bernardo RANGONI, Guiseppe Lorubio	2-Active SJWS Participant	A- As far as Eurelectric is concerned we'd like to let you know that a working group as is looking after CAM/CMP issues relevant to power generators. It would be of interest to us if ENTSOG envisaged some bilateral meeting beside on of our next WG meetings.	A - Bilateral meetings are very welcomed and facilitated by ENTSOG as envisaged in its Guidelines for Stakeholder Engagement Process. Invitations can be communicated at all times.
25	OGP	Sam Phillips	3-Consultation Respondent		
26	Eurogas	Margot Loudon	2-Active SJWS Participant		
27	Storengy	Marta Kamola	3-Consultation Respondent		
28	CEFIC	Martina Beitke	2-Active SJWS Participant	CEFIC approves the project plan and is indeed very pleased with ENTSOGs open and transparent working manner in this process and welcome the broad stakeholder involvement and the efforts to take our opinion into account.	
29	Borealis	Lieven Stalmans	2-Active SJWS Participant		
30	Geode	Christian Thole, Carmen Gimeno	2-Active SJWS Participant	GEODE agrees with the General Project Plan for the CAM Code you prepared and in principle the process seems appropriate and challenging.	
31	E.ON Energy Trading	Gunnar Steck	2-Active SJWS Participant		
32	Statoil	Christiane Sykes	2-Active SJWS Participant		

33	Societe Generale	Jean-Raymond RASTOUL	3-Consultation Respondent	A- Thorough and regular document broadcast with proper versioning B- Regular meetings	A - All material is already published on the ENTSOG website. However, the plan will be amended to highlight the envisaged document management through the website. B - As highlighted in our Guidelines Stakeholders Engagement Process and the Project Plan, regular formal as well as bilateral informal meetings are envisaged. Active participation of all stakeholders is highly welcomed by ENTSOG. Invitations can be communicated at all times.
34	EuroPEX	Manuel Coxe	2-Active SJWS Participant		