

(refined) Draft Network Code on Balancing – stakeholder support process

SSP Response Sheet

Please complete the fields below and send via email using the subject title, “Response to the BAL NC SSP” to info@entsog.eu by 28 September 2012.

Name

First and Last Name : Maja Celeska, B.Sc. in Mech. Eng.

Organisation

Company/Organisation Name: GA-MA AD Skopje

Job Title: Chief of Department for Technical Preparation

Contact details

Email: maja.celeska@gama.com.mk

Tel: 00389 23118 555

Mobile: +38971272589

Address

Street: bul Sv. Kliment Ohridski 54

Postal Code: 1000

City: Skopje

Country: Republic of Macedonia

Countries in which your organisation operates: Republic of Macedonia

How would you describe your organisation?

<input type="checkbox"/>	Association	(please specify type)
<input type="checkbox"/>	End user	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Network user	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Trader	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	Transport System Operator in R. Macedonia, Joint Stock Company (50% Makpetrol AD and 50% R.Macedonia ownership)

Question 1: Do you consider that the network code development process carried out by ENTSOG was appropriate, given the boundaries of the framework guideline? In particular, was the level of stakeholder engagement appropriate? If there is room for improvement, please inform us about possible suggestions for improvement.

Yes	
Comments: It is a great opportunity for stakeholder to give their opinion and to exchange different experiences in this area. We think that the process should be improved with more regional meetings.	

Question 2: Please complete the table below, indicating whether you support the relevant sections of the Draft Network Code on Balancing, having regard to the process carried out and ENTSOG's aim to reflect the views of the majority of users during the development process.

Chapter	I: General Provisions	II: Balancing System	III: Cross-border Cooperation	IV: Operational Balancing
Support	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Do not support				

Chapter	V: Nominations	VI: Daily Imbalance Charge	VII: Within-day Obligations	VIII: Neutrality Arrangements
Support	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Do not support				

Chapter	IX: Linepack Flexibility Service	X: Information Provision	XI: Implementation, Interim Steps
Support	Yes	Yes	Yes
Do not support			

Please provide brief reasoning for your responses, if you wish.

In our country we do not have much experience with this issue. The only gas input is in one point on the border with Bulgaria (Russian gas source).
We support the whole process and we think that defining of common rules opens many opportunities for development.
Also we think that consultation with market participants and gas transporters is very important.

Question 3: Do you believe that the eventual implementation of the refined draft Network Code will enhance the functioning of the internal gas market?

Yes	
Comments: The Network Code will enhance the functioning of gas market but its effect will be satisfactory only with a developed network. Parallel process to implementation of Network Code must be rapid development of the gas network pipelines with possibility of diferent gas- sources.	