

24 June 2021

Final



Advisory Panel for Future Gas Grids

3rd meeting on 24 June 2021

Jan Ingwersen, ENTSOG General Director

Introduction by Jan Ingwersen

Housekeeping





General:

- Please **mute your microphone** when not speaking
- Please use the webcam function only when you present
- Do not connect via multiple devices
- If you dialled in via phone, please press *6 to mute/unmute

Posing questions/interventions:

- Use chat box for questions
- 'Raise the hand' if you want to intervene

Broad Stakeholder Representation







SOC

Aim of the Advisory Panel

Connecting the dots between:

- market players and stakeholders,
- initiatives and activities,
- work streams (technical, markets, infrastructure, regulation).



Focusing on HOW to transition gas grids.



Key take-aways from 2nd meeting of Advisory Panel



TEN-E revision:

- Some highlighted the need to include H2 ready infrastructure (including repurposing & retrofitting) and LNG terminals & storage facilities
- Some stakeholders stressed the importance of interconnections with 3rd countries
- Agreement on full energy system view beyond gas & electricity
- Welcomed the enhanced provisions on Energy System Integration, inclusion of categories such as H2, and smart gas grids

On Hydrogen and Gas Decarbonisation package:

- Agreement that Industrial competitiveness is important and the need for gradual regulation offering sufficient certainty and flexibility to investors
- Differing views on cross-subsidisation between gas & H2 tariffs
- Differing views on regulatory framework: Include H2 into Gas regulatory framework vs separate H2 framework

On REDII revision:

- Differing views: One GOs framework for H2 vs distinct one for H2
- Some agreement on virtual trading of GOs which should not lead to 'green washing'
- Differing views of location of Electrolysers either on site with a dedicated H2 supply grid as best option to supply industry vs security of supply and market liquidity needs
- Differing views on certification: EU-wide certification system vs international GOs system

Agenda



Description	Time			
1. Introduction and welcome by Jan Ingwersen	13:00 - 13:10			
2. European Clean Hydrogen Alliance project collection 2.1. DG GROW perspective on Clean Hydrogen Alliance project collection (Henning EHRENSTEIN, DG GROW)	13:10 - 13:45			
2.2. Portuguese perspective (João GALAMBA, Deputy Minister and State Secretary of Energy, Portugal)				
2.3. Port of Antwerp perspective (Didier Van OSSELAER)				
3. Focus on End-users: use-cases of renewable and decarbonized gases				
3.1. EU Turbines/EUGINE perspective on H2-ready gas turbines (Ralf WEZEL) (5min)	13:45 - 15:15			
3.2. EHI perspective on H2 in heating (Federica SABATTI) (5min)				
3.3. CEFIC perspective on H2 in chemical industry (Willem HUISMAN) (5min)				
3.4. Discussion with all Members				
4. Smart gas grids & digitalisation				
3.1. Project presentation by SmartSim (Stefan RICKELT) (5 min)				
3.2. Project presentation by EVIDA - DSO (Nikolaj Bjerg JENSEN) (5min)	15:15 – 16:45			
3.3. Update from Prime Mover Group on Gas Quality & H2 Handling (Hendrik POLLEX) (5min)				
3.4. Discussion with all Members				
5. Summary and next steps	16:45 - 17:00			
6. Closure of the meeting	17:00			

2. European Clean Hydrogen Alliance project collection

DG GROW perspective





Mr Henning Ehrenstein

Deputy Head of Unit for Energy Intensive Industries & Raw Materials, DG GROW

Portuguese perspective





Mr João Galamba

Deputy Minister and Secretary of State for Energy, Portugal

Port of Antwerp perspective





Mr Didier Van Osselaer

Program Manager Sustainable Energy, Port of Antwerp



3. Focus on End-users: use-cases of renewable & decarbonised gases



H2 & Gas Power Plants

ENTSOG Advisory Panel for Future Gas Grids – 24 June 2021

Gas Power Plants as H2 consumers





After 2030, low-carbon hydrogen use expands rapidly in all sectors in the NZE. In the electricity sector, hydrogen and hydrogen-based fuels provide an important low-carbon source of electricity system flexibility, mainly through retrofitting existing gas-fired capacity to co-fire with hydrogen, together with some retrofitting of coal-fired power plants to co-fire with ammonia. Although these fuels provide only around 2% of overall electricity generation in 2050, this translates into very large volumes of hydrogen and makes the electricity sector an important driver of hydrogen demand. (page 76)



Hydrogen demand 265 223 35 137 33 24 50 4 20 2030 Other metals Pape ic chemicals Raw iron, steel oad freight Mineral oil processing Electricity, district heating

The consequence of the electrification efforts:

H2-fuelled power & CHP plants will become main H2 consumers

EU approach to focus on "hardto-decarbonise" industry sectors is misleading



EUTurbines definition NEW H2-ready Gas Power Plants

Level A	Level B	Level C
100% H2	up to 25% H2 ²	up to 10% H2 ²
• A1: no	• B1: no	• C1: no
substantial	substantial	substantial
modifications	modifications	modifications
• A2: minor	• B2: minor	C2: minor
upgrading required	upgrading required	upgrading required
• A3: upgrading possible	• B3: upgrading possible	C3: upgrading possible

Retrofitting existing plants

- No off-the-shelve product need for case-by-case analysis
- EUTurbines & EUGINE to provide checklists
- Not just a turbine / engine question
- Very important: avoiding further space requirements for additional gas mixing unit

H2-readiness of new plants will

not be a challenge – but needs a common understanding

Upgrading existing plants needs

individual analysis. Up to 20-30% H2 blending requires in most cases small modifications



Market challenges

H2 power plants may not be attractive for investors (expensive H2, limited operating hours)...

... nor to H2 suppliers (no steady demand)... but provide essential benefits to the energy system

Access challenges

- Planning of H2-backbone and H2-valleys ignores power plant locations → retrofit?
- Trend to decentral plants connected to the distribution grid – will distribution grids remain?
- Optimised location planning according to electricity grid needs or access to H2 grid? Integrated planning is key!

"H2-ready" needs to be accompanied by a plan to have access to H2

Ignoring H2 supply for gas power plants in the planning now will cause problems for the electricity system as of 2030!

A perspective from end users: heating

Federica Sabbati, Secretary General, European Heating Industry - EHI ehi association of the

European Heating Industry

EHI brings together manufacturers of heating systems in Europe







A perspective from end-use: why we need RES gases to decarbonise buildings





EU energy consumption

> 40% in buildings

> 85% spent for
heating

ean Heating Industr

& hot water

EU buildings 40% built before 1960 1,5% new built/ year 1% renovation/ year



Long term scenario of the EU Commission for decarbonisation of buildings in 2050:

34% direct electrification

What about the rest of buildings?

Heating transformation is multitechnology - 'fit for 55' technologies available



Existing gas infrastructure: an asset for buildings decarbonisation?



- Most of heating systems today are gas based (~ 70% of stock)
- Large storage capacity in the gas grid, sized to cope with seasonal heating demand and security of supply.
- Use grid as an asset to transport and distribute decarbonised and renewable gases?

Hydrogen for building projects today

Off-grid Living Lab Archetype of the project: H100 Fife 100% H2 distribution grid project HvDeploy 2 Blends of hydrogen and Westküste 100 H2-Island Kemnita (bio/synthetic) methane BO HyNet North Wes Sustainable Amelana in the gas grid (i.e. up to 20% H2) ARTLife 🔵 Industrial Innovation Campus Roadmap Gas 2050 HyDeploy 1 Centralised heat and power using H2 for DVGW H2-Ostality ReHeat EU Erdoas Mittelsachser district heating and cooling networks H2-20" AVACON Hydrogen Silesia Power hligagroup Off-grid, decentralised heat and power using H2 Neue Weststadt - Esslingen SBB Energy Wunsiedel Fichteloebiro Wasserstoffmodellregion Saar H2 Loop River Wine H2-Ready Gas Meters B H2GasOualityTrackin ReHeat EU THVGA ReHeat EU C ReHeat EU Gruppo Hera & Snam CEBRA Hydrogenica KRI HYDROGEN INNOVATION CENTER REEN CRANE Projects are run by companies that are members of H2SAREA White Dranon 4 European Clean POWER SKID Hydrogen Alliance ropean Heating Industry AHLAS PROJECT *This map is updated to 1 June 2021. Project collection is ongoing. **The majority of projects are active or set to start by 2024.

Hydrogen in buildings: current and planned projects

83 projects across Europe... and counting!



Today	2025-2029	Costs
 All gas end use products installed in stock (>1995) can work with bio methane and bio-LPG and up to 10% H2 blend without any extra cost Many modern condensing boilers can work with up to 20% H2 blend with new certification without any extra cost (intermediate step towards decarbonisation) 	 Ecodesign: all domestic gas condensing boilers and thermally- driven heat pumps placed on the market: from 2025: capable to process up to 20% H2 from 2029 ready for retrofit: from natural gas to 100% H2. Energy label: show that appliances can work well with green gases. 	 100% H2-ready technology: minor cost effect to the end user; no regret solution toward CO2-neutrality: domestic H2-ready boiler ~+17%, compared to condensing natural gas boiler; conversion kit to 100% H2 ~13% of H2-ready boiler price H2-ready thermally-driven heat pump: total cost increase for consumer ~5-6% *



CONTACT





ehi@ehi.eu



www.ehi.eu



@EHIassociation

Cefic perspective on H₂ in the chemical industry



Willem Huisman

24 June 2021, ENTSOG 3rd meeting of Advisory Panel for Future Gas Grids

The European Chemical Industry Council, AISBL – Rue Belliard, 40 - 1040 Brussels – Belgium Transparency Register n°64879142323-90



Potential of hydrogen in industrial projects

Why it is important to strive for 'climate-neutral':

- Reduction of large amounts of greenhouse gas emissions (several 100 million tonnes/a).
- Preservation of industrial sites and employment while transitioning to climate-neutral manufacturing.
- Preservation of the making industry in Europe, thereby ensuring a certain level of independence.
- Maintain technological leadership in Europe (vital for a resource-restricted region).

Hydrogen and the chemical industry:

- The chemical industry produces hydrogen, for own consumption about 4 Mt/year
- Hydrogen is used first and foremost as feedstock
- Current hydrogen production via unabated steam methane reforming: clearly unsustainable in a climate-neutral society.

ECH2A – Industry archetypes

Relevance for the chemical industry

	Number	Archetype	Comments	
	1	Hydrogen for industrial heat	H ₂ replacing fossil fuel for high-heat applications that cannot be electrified ('hard-to-abate').	
	2	Hydrogen for multi-industrial hubs	Large-scale H ₂ O electrolyser on a site/hub feeding wide range of applications.	
<	3	Hydrogen as feedstock for the chemical industry (with carbon from various sources)	Conversion of clean H ₂ and CO ₂ or CO into chemical building blocks (e.g. methanol, olefins, synthetic naphtha).	>
	4	Hydrogen for steel manufacturing (DRI and Hot Metal)	Use of H ₂ for Direct Reduction of Iron Ore (DRI) and alternative iron-making processes, replacing coal/coke/fossil fuel.	
5	5	Hydrogen for e-fuels production (e- kerosene, other e-fuels)	Separate archetype (from #3) due to application-specific pain points on the end-user side.	
	6	Hydrogen as feedstock for refining	Replacement of grey hydrogen.	
28	7	Hydrogen as feedstock for ammonia	Replacement of grey hydrogen.	

Main challenges for clean hydrogen use in industry

- Lack of clear terminology, as well as a comprehensive certification and verification framework for clean hydrogen.
- Growing demand for low-carbon products but resistance to pay the necessary premium to cover the additional cost.
- Current cost of renewable and low-carbon hydrogen is too high.
- Current availability of renewable and low-carbon hydrogen is low.
- Current availability of affordable and abundant renewable electricity is low.
- Current availability of hydrogen-related infrastructure is low.
- Technological risk during process scale-up to commercial size.
- Lack of prioritisation from the EC on the sectors/functions that hydrogen should be primarily destined for from now to 2030.



Closing remarks: Hydrogen, renewable and low carbon gases, and the chemical industry

- The chemical industry is the main industrial consumer of natural gas and the main producer and consumer of hydrogen
- <u>Hydrogen is expected to play a key role</u> in supporting the chemical industry in the transition towards carbon neutrality
- The chemical industry can use <u>hydrogen</u> and <u>renewable and low carbon gases</u> <u>both</u> as <u>feedstock</u> and as <u>energy carriers</u>
- <u>Our priority is to preserve gas quality</u>.
 Failing to do so would have negative impacts on our equipment and our feedstock.
- <u>Hydrogen</u>, on the one hand, and <u>renewable and low carbon gases</u>, on the other hand, should be delivered in <u>dedicated pipelines</u>.



Thank you.

Contact:

Nicola Rega Energy Director nre@cefic.be



About Cefic

Cefic, the European Chemical Industry Council, founded in 1972, is the voice of large, medium and small chemical companies across Europe, which provide 1.1 million jobs and account for 15% of world chemicals production. Cefic members form one of the most active networks of the business community, complemented by partnerships with industry associations representing various sectors in the value chain. A full list of our members is available on the Cefic website. Cefic is an active member of the International Council of Chemical Associations (ICCA), which represents

chemical manufacturers and producers all over the world and seeks to strengthen existing cooperation with global organisations such as UNEP and the OECD to improve chemicals management worldwide

Ś





Questions for debate

1. What is the cost aspect of using H2 in end-use applications? Who will pay for the investment needed? 3. What does the industry need from TSO/DSOs in short and long-term perspective?

2. What is the key obstacle/barrier in using renewable & low-carbon gases in end-use & what is needed to mitigate?

4. Smart gas grids & digitalisation

Gas quality tracking

a digital solution for today's & future gas grids

Dr. Stefan Rickelt, SmartSim GmbH

3rd meeting of ENTSOG Advisory Panel for Future Gas Grids 24.06.2021

Â



Smart<mark>Sım</mark>

Gas quality tracking – past

- Used for transmission grids for 20 years
- Applies measured data as input data volumes, pressures, gas quality...
- **Dynamic simulation** typically in hourly resolution
- Gives a clear picture about the gas distribution of a past period
- Systems are used for billing
- Undergo an approval process which includes validation with measurements
- Example: gas quality tracking at transmission grid of Enagás
- in contrast, capacity planning simulations only provide a st steady-state simulation for a scenario (coldest/hottest day,



Gas quality tracking – present



- Important advances of simulation techniques during last years
- Integration of renewable gases set the demand to track different gases
- With SmartSim, we succeeded in applying gas quality tracking in distribution grids in the last 10 years
- More and more DSOs apply gas quality tracking in grids where exit points have no hourly volume metering
- Now first implementations to downstream distribution grids and city grids
- Requirements defined in ISO 15112 ("Energy Determination")


Gas quality tracking – future



 Gas quality tracking to become feasible for gas grids with high complexity

City grids

- Often have large capacities to receive renewable gases
- Have a key role in integration of renewable & decarbonized gases and reduce carbon footprint

Wobbe Index classification

- CEN SFGas GQS gives proposal of WI requirements
- Possible with gas quality tracking systems available today

Forecasting of gas quality variations (CV/Wobbe) and hydrogen



Thank you for your attention!

Dr. Stefan Rickelt stefan.rickelt@smartsim.energy

+49 178 854 4585



ENTSOG Advisory Panel for Future Gas Grids

24th of June 2021 Nikolaj Bjerg Jensen - Evida



Evida – <u>The</u> Danish DSO

- Fusion of three former DSO's
- Around 400.000 Danish gas consumer
- Operates more than 18.000 km of distribution grid



Bimethane production

Decentral production

Located in rural areas without high consumption

Leads to excess biomethane in certain periods of the year

Leads to a complex CVs due to a combination of biomethane and natural gas – this was the main reason to implement quality tracking



Biomethane production in Denmark

20.6% 20% 9.6% 20,2% 20,1% 16,5 15.3 14,9% 15% 13,7% 14,4% 11,1% 10,9% 10,3% 10,2% 11,0% 10,9% 10% 10,1% 10,0% 9,7% 9,0% 5% 0% Januar Februar December Januar Marts April Naj Juni August November Februar Marts April Maj Juni Juli August September Oktober December Januar Februar Marts April Maj Juni Juli September Oktober November 2021 2019 2020 ENERGINET

Share of biomethane in the grid compared to the danish gas consumption





Challenges related to biomethane

- Changing CV complicates the billing
- Decentralized production → Exces/surpluss biomethane





Implementation of gas quality tracking

- Based on measured inputs for entry- and exitpoints. This is for example:
 - Pressure
 - Gas composition
 - Calorific value
 - Flow and consumption

• The software simulates the gas flowwhich means the CV, gas composition, pressure etc. is available for the gas grid.





Benefits of a more digital grid

- Flexible grid better opportunities for operating/optimising the grid, compressors etc.
- Brings in new opportunities of developing the grid and to solve problems with meters etc.
- Better communication of the implementation of green gases and transition towards a more sustainable gas grid





Prime movers' group Gas Quality & H2 handling – Updates

3rd meeting of Advisory Panel for Future Gas Grids

Hendrik Pollex, Director System Operation at ENTSOG

Prime movers' group structure



Prime movers' group (plenary meeting)

- Steering sub-groups activities
- Knowledge sharing sessions about implemented solutions
- Understanding of concerns & possibilities from different parts of gas value chain
- Discussions on lessons learnt
- Dissemination of project results & ongoing work by different stakeholders

Sub-group 1) WI framework discussion

- First deliverable ready. It will serve as input to:
 - EC for the gas package revision (regulatory principles)
 - CEN TC 234 WG 11 for the revision of their H-gas standard (EN 16726) which has started mid 2021
- Further work to be defined
- Sub-group 2) Value chain H2 readiness roadmap & solutions for GQ&H2 handling

Sub-group 2: scope & goal



Provide conclusions that could be inputs to future Commission proposals on gas market design

> Facilitate **knowledge sharing and exchange** about the commonly faced **challenges related to GQ&H2 handling**, as well as best practices and lessons learned

> Identification **of the possibilities** for implementing gas quality & H2 management tools at different interfaces

Seek to sketch out a cost-efficient **'step-by-step' approach** to connect each individual sector or area within a future 'decarbonized' gas system (what can be done and by when) The goal is to assess the challenges
Solutions for gas quality and H2 handling under different scenarios and for different part of the gas value chain (TSO/DSO, mobility, domestic heating, feedstock industry, engines, turbines, etc)

Case studies: Possibilities & expected developments





For each part of the gas value chain, the following estimated cost ranges are assessed:

- GQ management & information provision tools for TSOs/DSOs
- Modification vs replacement appliances/ applications
- Deblending facilities

Installed application	Which H2% vol. can installed stock handle? Is it also possible with fluctuating blends or only steady flow?
Modification of application	Costs for handling higher H2NG volumes?
Replacement	Costs for full replacement? When is a replacement necessary?
Information provision	Which information is expected to be needed from the DSO/TSO?
Industry Recommendations	Recommendations on modification vs replacement? Are deblending facilities an option?



First results to be presented during next prime movers' meeting (30th June)!

Proposed plan for sub-group 2



Sub-group 2) Value chain H2 readiness roadmap & solutions									
Phase 1: Prepa work			: Development of H2 management t		Phase 3: Continuation of the roadmap (focus on technical, policy items)				
EC 'gas package' public consultation								Commiss adoption (a	
mar-21	apr-2	21	may-21	jun-21	jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Oct-21	

March-April

- Understanding and agreement on concept (development of scenarios, questions to be addressed, timelines to be included)
- **Map out** existing initiatives/projects and links with PMG-SG2 work

<u>May-July</u>

- Development of **roadmap** via bilateral stakeholder engagement
- Ad-hoc meetings to discuss specific topics
- Understanding of agreement & disagreements

<u>September</u>

- Agreement on **final**
- deliverable
- Dissemination of results

Public material is available in the process website: <u>prime-movers-group-gas-quality-and-hydrogen-handling</u>





Hendrik Pollex, Director System Operator at ENTSOG

Hendrik.pollex@entsog.eu

ENTSOG - European Network of Transmission System Operators for Gas Avenue de Cortenbergh 100, 1000 Bruxelles

www.entsog.eu | info@entsog.eu

in 🗴 V





Questions for debate

1. How can digitalisation help achieving the decarbonisation goals in the gas sector (from producers to end consumers)? 3. Which seem to be the challenges to achieve a smarter system integration?

2. Which should be the priorities to make the deployment of smart gas grids effectively happen?

4. Which smart tools for GQ & H2 handling do customers expect to be using in the future?

Summary and next steps



Next steps

Next meeting October 2021 (Quarterly)

Input to Madrid, Copenhagen, Florence Fora Recommendation Report (End 2021)



Thank you for your attention

ENTSOG

ENTSOG - European Network of Transmission System Operators for Gas Avenue de Cortenbergh 100, 1000 Bruxelles

www.entsog.eu | info@entsog.eu

in 🗴 V