

1

ENTSOG Configuration Management Approach

2

Version 0 Revision 3 – 2020-XX-YY

3

Disclaimer

4

This document only provides specific technical information given for indicative purposes only and, as such, it is subject to further modifications. The information contained in the document is non-exhaustive and non-contractual in nature.

5

6

7

No warranty is given by ENTSOG in respect of any information so provided, including its further modifications. ENTSOG shall not be liable for any costs, damages and/or other losses that are suffered or incurred by any third party in consequence of any use of -or reliance on- the information hereby provided.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14	Table of contents	
15	1	Introduction..... 4
16	2	Required Features 5
17	3	Data Exchange Parameters 7
18	3.1	Party Parameters..... 8
19	3.2	(Sub) Profile Parameters 8
20	3.3	Network and Network Security Parameters 10
21	3.4	Certificate Sets 11
22	3.5	Business Process Relations 12
23	3.6	Agreement Parameters 12
24	3.7	Delegation 13
25	4	Structured Export 14
26	4.1	CPPA3 Profile 15
27	4.2	Profile Export..... 15
28	4.3	Agreement Export 15
29	4.4	Delegation Export..... 15
30	4.5	Network and Network Security Export 16
31	5	CPPA3 Usage Profile 16
32	5.1	CPP and CPA 16
33	5.2	Party Information 17
34	5.3	Service Specification..... 18
35	5.4	PayloadProfile 19
36	5.5	ebMS3Channel 19
37	5.6	HTTPTransport..... 20
38	5.7	Delegation 21
39	6	EASEE-Connect 21
40	7	Revision History..... 23
41	8	References..... 24
42		

43 **1 Introduction**

44 ENTSOG has produced a number of usage profiles [AS4UP, WSUP, INTUP] to support the
45 implementation of the common data exchange solutions defined in the Network Code on
46 Interoperability and Data Exchange [CR2015/703]. AS4, which is used for document-based
47 data exchange, and SOAP/HTTPS, which is used for integrated exchange, support machine-
48 to-machine exchange of structured information. To use these solutions successfully, TSOs
49 and their counterparties need to configure various communication parameters in their
50 communication products. Many of these parameters are pre-defined in the ENTSOG
51 specifications and can be inferred by referencing the applicable specification version, but
52 others are unique to specific parties and counterparties, and therefore need to be
53 exchanged and configured between parties.

54 While it is possible to exchange communication configuration parameters bilaterally, this is
55 inefficient and, if manual effort is involved, error-prone. Stakeholders in the gas sector have
56 identified the need for a secure collaboration platform that allows parties to share and agree
57 on such parameters, and to retrieve parameter sets in a structured format that can be
58 imported or applied (semi-)automatically. The main identified benefits of the platform relate
59 to setting up configurations for new parties and/or new services, where many parameters
60 need to be set. The platform would therefore complement and serve a purpose different
61 from the ebCore Agreement Update feature, which supports updates of existing
62 configurations.

63 This document provides the following:

- 64 • An overview of requirements and key features that a central configuration portal
65 should address. This is done in section 2. The exchange platform should allow parties
66 to securely self-manage their parameter values, to selectively share these values with
67 counterparties and to link profiles to agreements.
- 68 • A specification of a set of data elements for data exchange configuration parameters.
69 This is discussed in section 3, which groups and defines the various parameters.
- 70 • A specification of functionality to export partner profiles and agreements. The
71 exchange platform should allow parties to download parameters in structured
72 formats. Vendors or systems integrators may use this functionality to (semi-
73)automatically configure communication. This is discussed in section 4.
- 74 • A specification of a Usage Profile of an OASIS standard, ebCore CPPA3, that can be
75 used in the export function. This is done in section 5.
- 76 • A short description of EASEE-Connect, a service from EASEE-gas that implements the
77 concepts described in this document. This is provided in section 6.

78 ENTSOG does not currently intend to develop or host this platform, but encourages its
79 stakeholders, and stakeholder communities to develop and operate such a platform.

80 **2 Required Features**

81 The collaboration platform is to allow gas sector parties to maintain, exchange and agree on
82 communication configuration data securely. Since TSOs exchange data among themselves,
83 but also with other market participants, the platform should be open to all relevant parties
84 in the gas business. The platform is useful if its users can serve as “one stop shop” to
85 configure configuration with all or the vast majority of their counterparties.

86 The collaboration platform needs a formal identification system for parties and therefore
87 identifies parties using their EIC code [EIC], as issued by ENTSOG and other issuing agencies.
88 EIC codes are unambiguous and used as party identifier header values in AS4 messaging.

89 The collaboration platform should allow parties to provide and maintain their configuration
90 parameters themselves. A self-service model avoids unnecessary delays, puts those
91 responsible for data and data quality in charge of managing that data, and minimizes the
92 operational costs of the platform.

93 The collaboration platform should allow sharing data where needed, but limit unnecessary
94 sharing where possible. Parties exchange data in support of business processes with
95 counterparties. The platform should allow parties to specify who their counterparties are,
96 i.e. who they send messages to and who they receive messages from. This information can
97 then be used to control the visibility of the data in the platform: configuration data is only
98 shared among parties who are each other’s counterparties, but otherwise confidential, and
99 agreements can only be formed among counterparties.

100 By analogy to human-to-human communication, the collaboration platform is more like a
101 social network (in which people can share selectively, self-organize in private groups) than to
102 email (which offers ad hoc any-to-any data sharing but no controls on visibility and sharing,
103 and no concept of a communication agreement). Market communication is based on
104 party/counterparty relations. These relations are typically stable rather than ad hoc, but not
105 fully static, as players still enter or leave the market and add or drop business partners, and
106 companies may reorganize.

107 The collaboration platform is most useful if it allows all relevant parameters to be
108 maintained. This includes parameters specific to the party, the communication protocol
109 profile parameters, network and network security configuration, certificate sets, business
110 process relations, agreement parameters and delegation information. A full overview and
111 categorization of data exchange parameters is provided in section 3.

112 The platform should be able to support the full lifecycle of data communication. Companies
113 periodically update their communication services and configuration parameters change

114 accordingly. They may take on new roles, and outsource others. Companies also have other
115 environments than their production systems, and need counterparty data to configure each
116 of them, and need to be able to indicate in which intervals environments and configuration
117 sets are valid.

118 The data that is managed in the collaboration platform is used in communication and
119 networking systems. Since the data is structured and even minor errors can cause
120 communication failures, it is important that the data can exported (or downloaded) in a (or
121 in a selection of) structured electronic format(s). This is further addressed in section 4.

122 The platform can only be trusted if its operation is secure, all access to and use of its services
123 is authenticated and authorized and all operations are logged and monitored. Each company
124 registered to the platform should be able to manage which employees can use the platform
125 on its behalf, and which operations they can perform.

126 **3 Data Exchange Parameters**

127 The ENTSOG data exchange specifications describe the use of data exchange solutions for
128 various types of exchanges. These solutions are parameterized, meaning they need to be
129 provided with configuration parameters to function appropriately. This section provides an
130 overview and basic set of configuration data elements. The elements are grouped to support
131 common reuse patterns:

- 132 • Party parameters
- 133 • (Sub) Profile parameters
- 134 • Networking and Network security parameters.
- 135 • Certificate sets.

136 The grouping provides support and flexibility for real-life data exchange situations and
137 covers all parameters needed for the ENTSOG document-based and integrated exchanges.

138 Examples of some supported situations, not exclusive of others, are:

- 139 • A party has a “test” and a “production” environment for document-based exchange.
140 This is handled as two (sub) profiles, with different endpoints hosted on different
141 servers with different IP addresses and possibly different certificate sets.
- 142 • A party has two “production” environments for document-based exchange that are
143 the same except that the first expires a month after the second is activated and that
144 they are linked to different certificate sets. This can occur during a certificate switch
145 period.
- 146 • A party has a “production” environment for document-based AS4 exchange and
147 another “production” environment for integrated data exchange profile B.
- 148 • A party has two (sub) profiles that are both for the “test” environment. One is the
149 regular test environment; the other is being used to test a new vendor product that
150 the party will migrate to.

151 Parameters that have fixed values defined in the ENTSOG specifications are not covered in
152 this overview. Instead, each (sub) profile is labelled with the type and version of applied data
153 exchange solution. When configuring a generic, off-the-shelf communication system (i.e. not
154 an ad hoc solution for an ENTSOG profile), users therefore need to combine the data
155 elements specified in this section and the preconfigured values.

156 Note that a secure configuration exchange platform will need to manage other data, for
157 example administrative data and authorizations, to support its own operation and use. This
158 section only covers the data elements to be used to configure exchanges following the
159 ENTSOG data exchange specifications.

160 This version of this document is focussed on document-based exchange. In principle, the
 161 approach could be extended to integrated and interactive exchange, though details and
 162 technologies used would be different.

163 **3.1 Party Parameters**

164 Party parameters provide information about a TSO or other company that is independent of
 165 data exchange solution.

166 This group also includes contact information which obviously is not directly used in a
 167 communication system, but can be useful in case of trouble-shooting.

Parameter	Description	Cardinality
Party Name	Name of the party	1
Party Identifier	EIC code of the party	1
Party Contact	A list of contacts for the party. Each contact has a type (e.g. "business contact", "technical contact") and one or multiple communication addresses. Each communication address has a type (e.g. email address, telephone number) and value.	1..n
Party Role	The role the party may perform, encoded as an EDIG@S role code value.	1..n
Counter Party Identifier	A list of EIC codes of the counterparties of the party	1..n

168 **3.2 (Sub) Profile Parameters**

169 For each party, multiple party (sub) profiles may be defined. A (sub) profile is valid in an
 170 environment, uses a (version of a) data exchange solution on a URI, is valid in a certain
 171 interval, involves a set of certificates and has a network (security) configuration.

Parameter	Description	Cardinality
Sub Profile Identifier	An identifier for the sub-profile (only needed internally for cross references from agreements)	1

Parameter	Description	Cardinality
Party Reference	Reference to party for which this is a sub-profile	1
Party Role	<p>The role of the party for which this is a sub-profile. Must be one of the roles party may perform.</p> <p>If none specified, the sub profile applies to all roles that party may perform</p>	0..n
Environment	The environment for which the sub profile provides values, e.g. "acceptance" versus "production"	1
Activation Date	Date and time from which the sub parameter set is valid	1
Expiration Date	Date and time until which the sub parameter set is valid	1
Data Exchange Solution	<p>Indication which data exchange solution is used. Possible values are ENTSOG AS4, ENTSOG Integrated Data Exchange Profile A, B or C.</p> <p>Other values can be used for other solutions (e.g. legacy solutions, or solutions with NRA approval), such as EASEE-gas AS2.</p>	1
Data Exchange Solution version	Optional protocol version, useful in case future incompatible changes are made. The current version for ENTSOG AS4 is 3.6.	0..1
Data Exchange Product	<p>Vendor name and name and version of the product the solution is deployed on.</p> <p>Note: this element is for information only and parties are not required to disclose it. It may be useful for trouble shooting.</p>	0..1

Parameter	Description	Cardinality
Endpoint URI	HTTP or HTTPS URI for the endpoint. The domain name must be resolvable using DNS records (“A” for IPv4, “AAAA” for IPv6).	1
Network Security Parameter Set ID	Cross reference to a network Security Parameter Set	0..1
Certificate Set ID	Cross reference to a Certificate Set. Presence/absence dependent on data exchange solution used: not needed for interactive exchange. Referenced certificates must be valid in the validity interval of the profile.	0..1

172 **3.3 Network and Network Security Parameters**

173 A sub profile may be constrained to be used with a set of network parameters and network
174 security parameters.

Parameter	Description	Cardinality
Network Security Parameter Set ID	Internal identifier for cross-referencing the network security parameter set	1
IPv4 supported	Boolean indicator that expresses if IPv4 may be used for communication	1
Client IP v4	IPv4 address or address range from which the endpoint initiates HTTP(S) connections Requires the IPv4 supported parameter to be true.	0..n
Server IP v4	IPv4 address or address range at which the endpoint accepts HTTP(S) connections Requires the IPv4 supported parameter to be true. A DNS “A” record MUST exist for the	0..n

Parameter	Description	Cardinality
	domain name used in the Endpoint and must resolve to an address in this range.	
IPv6 supported	Boolean indicator that expresses if IPv6 may be used for communication	1
Client IP v6	IPv6 address or address range from which the endpoint initiates HTTP(S) connections Requires the IPv6 supported parameter to be true.	0..n
Server IP v6	IPv6 address or address range at which the endpoint accepts HTTP(S) connections Requires the IPv6 supported parameter to be true. A DNS "AAAA" record MUST exist for the domain name used in the Endpoint and must resolve to an address in this range.	0..n

175 **3.4 Certificate Sets**

176 A reusable set of certificates, to be used in conjunction with one or multiple (sub) profiles.

Parameter	Description	Cardinality
Certificate Set ID	Internal identifier for cross-referencing the certificate set	1
Signing Certificate (Chain)	An ordered list containing the leaf signing certificate, any intermediate certificates and the Certification Authority certificate.	1
Encryption Certificate (Chain)	An ordered list containing the leaf encryption certificate, any intermediate certificates and the Certification Authority certificate.	1
Server Certificate (Chain)	An ordered list containing the TLS leaf	0..1

Parameter	Description	Cardinality
	server authentication certificate, any intermediate certificates and the Certification Authority certificate.	
Client Certificate (Chain)	An ordered list containing the TLS leaf client authentication certificate, any intermediate certificates and the Certification Authority certificate. Note: TLS client authentication is allowed, but not recommended in ENTSOG data exchange solutions.	0..1

177 **3.5 Business Process Relations**

178 Business process information is provided in the ENTSOG Service Action table [AS4MAP],
179 which lists, for each pair of roles, the types of EDIG@S or other documents that can be
180 exchanged between them. The table includes service area codes which are linked to EDIG@S
181 versions (4, 5, 6) and can therefore be used to indicate which EDIG@S version(s) a party
182 supports. From that table, in combination with the information on roles performed by
183 parties, the relevant AS4 parameters (Service, Action, From Role, To Role) and the EDIG@S
184 Document Type can be inferred. By listing roles for parties, and listing counterparties for
185 parties, all potential exchanges between parties can be computed.

186 **3.6 Agreement Parameters**

187 ENTSOG AS4 uses the AS4 agreement concept and requires the AS4 agreement reference
188 header to be present in AS4 messages. This allows its users to handle certificate switches in a
189 much more flexible way than the previous AS4 practice. As both involved parties may have
190 multiple different (sub) profiles, linking to distinct certificate sets, an agreement is a relation
191 at the sub-profile layer rather than the party layer.

Parameter	Description	Cardinality
Party Sub Profile Reference	A reference to a sub-profile of a party	1
Counterparty Sub Profile Reference	A reference to a sub-profile of another party	1
An agreement sequence	An integer that indicates a version of an	1

Parameter	Description	Cardinality
number	agreement.	
Activation Date	Date and time from which the delegation is valid. Must be compatible with the activation dates of the parties involved.	1
Expiration Date	Date and time until which the delegation is valid. Must be compatible with the expiration dates of the parties involved.	1

192 Note that the referenced (sub) profiles must be of the same type. A “test” agreement must
193 be between two “test” (sub) profiles and a “production” agreement between two
194 “production” (sub) profiles. It is not possible to have an agreement involving a “test” party
195 profile and a “production” counterparty profile.

196 **3.7 Delegation**

197 Where normally organizations operate a messaging gateway to send and receive messages
198 to their counterparties, sometimes organizations do not create or receive messages
199 themselves, but use third party service providers that send and receive messages on behalf
200 of and for them. Two situations can be distinguished:

- 201 1. Impersonation: in this situation, the third party sends and receives messages to the
202 counterparties of the customer using the identity of its customer. For configuration
203 and the configuration exchange platform, this is not different from the usual
204 situation. The profile configuration is still registered with the EIC code of the
205 customer.
- 206 2. Delegation: in this situation there are no messaging profiles for the customer in the
207 portal, but there are for their service providers. To allow counterparties to know that
208 a party uses a service provider, so that they can configure messaging with that
209 service provider, an explicit delegation table can be used.

210 The delegation relation has the following properties:

Parameter	Description	Cardinality
Delegating Party Profile	Reference to a registered party	1
Delegating Party Role	The role for which the party delegates	0..n

Parameter	Description	Cardinality
	communication	
Delegated Party Profile	Reference to a registered party	1
Activation Date	Date and time from which the delegation is valid	0..1
Expiration Date	Date and time until which the delegation is valid	0..1

211 Note that the model makes it possible for parties to delegate processing for some roles but
 212 not for others. Also note that using multiple records with different activation/expiration
 213 dates, it is possible to describe a switch from one service provider to another, or to describe
 214 a outsourcing switch from an in-house solution to a service provider.

215 Delegation information is not messaging configuration information. Rather, it defines
 216 constraints on relations between sender and receiver identifiers at message layer and at
 217 business document layer, which can be validated in middleware or in business systems. All
 218 configuration data for the actual exchange with the delegated party is not included in the
 219 table. That data is instead provided as a (sub) profile of the delegated party. So, if party A
 220 wants to exchange data with a party B that delegate to a service provider X, A must
 221 configure an agreement with X. If A also outsources its data exchange to a service provider Y,
 222 then X and Y must have an agreement.

223 **4 Structured Export**

224 A collaboration platform in which parties can self-manage their configuration parameters
 225 and their relations with counter-parties is already a very useful first step. A next step is to
 226 allow configuration data to be exported into a structured XML format, which can be
 227 imported into communication software to set parameter sets efficiently. This eliminates
 228 manual data entry and avoids the associated potential data entry errors.

229 The OASIS ebCore CPPA3 standard [CPPA3SPEC] and its associated XML schema [CPPA3XSD]
 230 provide a standard mechanism to encode partner profile and agreement information for
 231 multiple communication protocols, including AS2 and AS4. It can be used as a vendor-
 232 independent intermediate format to export data managed in a secure configuration sharing
 233 environment into proprietary formats and interfaces of communication products.

234 In addition to exporting to a (draft) standard format, the secure central platform may also
 235 offer direct exports to proprietary formats.

236 **4.1 CPPA3 Profile**

237 The OASIS ebCore CPPA3 standard [CPPA3SPEC] and its associated XML schema [CPPA3XSD]
238 provide a structured XML format for party profile and party agreement configuration. As is
239 common with standard formats that are intended to be used in very different contexts, it
240 offers many options and typically benefits from being profiled. Such profiling may cover both
241 functionality to be implemented in products and conventions to be adopted by users.

242 For the secure gas configuration data exchange platform, a usage profile is provided in
243 section 5. A proof-of-concept that illustrates the use of ebCore CPPA3 and that implements
244 this usage profile is published as open source, under the MIT license, on the public Internet
245 [AS4CPOC]. It includes sample code to generate CPP and CPA documents for parties.

246 **4.2 Profile Export**

247 A (Sub) Party parameter set, as described in section 3.2, can be exported together with
248 referenced party information (see section 3.1), network and network security information
249 (see section 3.3) and security sets (see section 3.4) as an ebCore CPPA3 CPP document.

250 For ENTSOG AS4 the export as a CPP structure is in itself not sufficient for communicate
251 because it does not include information about the counterparty and agreement-related
252 information.

253 **4.3 Agreement Export**

254 For ENTSOG AS4, which uses the AS4 concept of “agreements”, the configuration for a
255 partner is to be derived from an Agreement parameter set, as described in section 3.6, along
256 with data from referenced profiles (see section 3.2), party information (section 3.1), network
257 and network security information (see section 3.3) and security sets (see section 3.4).

258 Multiple agreements can be active at the same time. Each of them relates to certificates
259 specified in the certificate sets of the associated profiles. Furthermore, an agreement has an
260 identifier that is included in the AS4 message as the value of an AS4 header. This allows
261 receivers of AS4 messages to select the agreement that applies to the message, and process
262 it accordingly.

263 **4.4 Delegation Export**

264 The draft CPPA3 schema has a concept called “delegation channels” that delegation
265 information can be mapped to. This concept can be used in CPA documents in which one or
266 both parties P1 and or P2 use at least one service provider S. The CPA XML structure then
267 has P1 as the agreement Party and P2 as the agreement counterparty. For the party P that
268 delegates messaging to S, there will be a channel that simply expresses that any of P’s
269 actions bound to send will use S as the sender or receiver. Whether that communication

270 uses AS2 or AS4 or other aspects of the configuration are determined by P's configuration
271 for S.

272 The users of this delegation information are not the AS2 or AS4 messaging gateways, but
273 business applications or middleware applications.

- 274 • A sender party P1 can use the information to determine that a EDIG@S message to
275 P2 is to be sent to S instead of to P2 and therefore must use a messaging
276 configuration for use with S. In this case, the messaging receiver (*AS2-To* in AS2 or
277 *To/PartyID* in AS4) is different from the EDIG@S XML recipient.
- 278 • A receiver party P2 can use the information to determine that a EDIG@S message
279 from S may (from a business point of view) be from a business party P1. This means
280 that the messaging sender (*AS2-From* in AS2 or *From/PartyID* in AS4) identity is
281 different from the EDIG@S XML recipient identity.

282 Alternatively, the delegation information can be exported in CSV or another tabular format
283 that is simpler than the CPPA3 the XML format.

284 **4.5 Network and Network Security Export**

285 The network and network security parameters are typically not used by the AS2 or AS4
286 endpoints directly. Instead, they are used in rules on the company's firewall and configured
287 by the company's network administrators, which are typically a different team than the AS4
288 system administrators. Although the CPP and CPA formats include the relevant information,
289 a simpler and separate export format could be used. For example, for Linux one could
290 generate a shell script that invokes the *iptables* command with the relevant options, or a
291 simple file in CSV or another tabular format. These simpler exports could be handed over to
292 network management for review and deployment.

293 **5 CPPA3 Usage Profile**

294 As ENTSOG AS4 is a highly constrained profile, which has fixed values for many features, a
295 CPPA3 Usage Profile can be used that simplifies its use. The following implementation
296 guidelines are provided:

297 **5.1 CPP and CPA**

298 CPPA3 defines two document types. CPP is an XML format for a party profile. CPA is a
299 similar format for party agreements. They have similar structures and the latter can be
300 formed automatically by unifying (merging) the content of two of the former.

301 A CPP has a `ProfileIdentifier`. This identifier serves the purpose of the (Sub) Profile
302 Identifier specified in section 3.2. Its value is not used in AS4.

303 A CPA has an `AgreementIdentifier`. This identifier is used in AS4 and has an
304 important role in ENTSOG AS4. Its content can be derived from the agreement sequence
305 number (see section 3.6) and the party identifiers (see section 3.2).

306 A CPP MAY have an `allowed` attribute that points to a list of party identifiers. This list can
307 be populated from the list of counter party identifiers (see section 3.1).

308 CPP and CPA have `ActivationDate` and `ExpirationDate` elements set based on
309 values defined in 3.2 and 3.6.

310 **5.2 Party Information**

311 The CPPA3 `PartyInfo` element, which provides party information, is profiled as follows:

- 312 • The `PartyId` value for a party MUST be to the EIC Code for the party.
- 313 • The `PartyId/@type` attribute MUST be set to the fixed value
314 `http://www.entsoe.eu/eic-codes/eic-party-codes-x`.
- 315 • The `PartyName` MUST be set to party's Party Name.

316 As an example, the following screenshot was taken from the ENTSOG approved EIC code
317 section on ENTSOG's Website [EIC].

21X0000000010012	APX Gas NL BV	APX-GAS-NL	Balance Responsible Party
21X0000000010020	APX Gas Zeebrugge BV	APX-GAS-ZEEBRUGG	Balance Responsible Party

318
319 The first entry on this line can therefore be represented in CPPA3 as the following
320 `PartyInfo` content:

321
322

```
<cppa:PartyName xml:lang="en">APX Gas NL BV</cppa:PartyName>
<cppa:PartyId type="http://www.entsoe.eu/eic-codes/eic-party-codes-x">21X0000000010012</cppa:PartyId>
```

323 Certificates used for message layer signing and encryption MUST be provided as
324 `Certificate` elements containing XML Signature `KeyInfo` elements. Within the
325 `KeyInfo`, the full certificate chain MUST be provided, in order, from the leaf certificate to
326 the issuing Certification Authority's root certificate, as `X509Certificate` elements.
327 Furthermore, a `CertificateDefaults` element MUST be included which MUST include
328 a `SigningCertificateRef` and an `EncryptionCertificateRef` element, which
329 reference a `Certificate`.

330 Note that in CPPA3, definition and use of certificates are separate. So, if a single certificate is
331 used for both signing and encryption, only one definition must be provided, to which there
332 are two references.

333 In a CPP, there is only a `PartyInfo` element. In a CPA, there is also a
334 `CounterPartyInfo` element. It relates to the other party in the agreement. It has the
335 same structure as the `PartyInfo` element.

336 **5.3 Service Specification**

337 All companies engaged in gas sector business can participate in one or more roles. The
 338 ENTSOG AS4 Mapping Table [AS4MAP] provides a tabular definition of all data exchanges
 339 specified in all ENTSOG Business Requirements Specification (BRS) document. Therefore, it is
 340 possible to compute the full set of potential exchanges of any gas company by selecting the
 341 exchanges in which the sending party role or the receiving party role is one of the roles the
 342 company may perform.

343 The following example specifies the exchanges from the company in the ZSO role, where the
 344 counterparty is a ZTZ. According to the mapping table, one of the services among these
 345 roles is the A08 role. For this service, many action bindings are to be specified. Apart from
 346 the binding for A08, other service bindings may follow. (Both further discussed after this
 347 example).

```

348 <cppa:ServiceSpecification>
349   <cppa:PartyRole name="ZSO"/>
350   <cppa:CounterPartyRole name="ZTZ"/>
351   <cppa:ServiceBinding>
352     <cppa:Service type="http://edigas.org/service">A08</cppa:Service>
353     <!-- a number of action bindings, see below -->
354   </cppa:ServiceBinding>
355   <!-- other service binding definitions follow -->
356 </cppa:ServiceSpecification>
  
```

357 Within a service, separate `ActionBinding` elements **MUST** be provided for each message
 358 exchange specified in the AS4 mapping table for the pair of roles. The following example
 359 shows the content for the A08 service in the above example.

```

360 <cppa:ActionBinding sendOrReceive="send"
361   action="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ebxml-msg/as4/200902/action" id="ab_1_1">
362   <cppa:ChannelId>ch_send</cppa:ChannelId>
363   <cppa:PayloadProfileId>pp_ALW</cppa:PayloadProfileId>
364 </cppa:ActionBinding>
365 <cppa:ActionBinding sendOrReceive="receive"
366   action="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ebxml-msg/as4/200902/action" id="ab_1_3">
367   <cppa:ChannelId>ch_receive</cppa:ChannelId>
368   <cppa:PayloadProfileId>pp_ALU</cppa:PayloadProfileId>
369 </cppa:ActionBinding>
  
```

370 A party acting in a role may be either the sender or the recipient in the exchange. This is
 371 reflected in the `sendOrReceive` attribute value. In the example, there is one exchange
 372 from the party to the counterparty and one in the reverse direction.

373 In the ENTSOG AS4 profile [AS4UP], it is specified that the `action` is fixed to be the AS4
 374 default action. There may be multiple bindings for this action in the service, which are only
 375 differentiated by the type of document exchanged. In a CPPA3 document there are
 376 therefore multiple bindings for the action. In theory, multiple action bindings **MAY** involve
 377 the same document. For this reason, CPPA3 does not include its payload specification as
 378 child content of the `ActionBinding` element but instead has a `PayloadProfileId`

379 element whose content is an XML IDREF to a separate reusable definition. The value of the
380 identifier can be any XML ID, such as pp_ALW and pp_ALU in the example below.

381 Similarly, there is a cross-referencing ChannelId element that specifies the
382 communication channel to be used for the exchange (see section 5.5).

383 **5.4 PayloadProfile**

384 In CPPA3, payload definitions can be specified in a PayloadProfile element. This
385 element has a mandatory id attribute that is the target of the PayloadProfileId
386 element. To support protocols like AS4 that may include multiple payloads, in CPPA3 the
387 PayloadProfile element includes as many PayloadPart elements as are needed. For
388 each part, the minimum and maximum cardinality is specified using attributes. For ENTSOG
389 AS4, where the payload is always a single EDIGAS document, the PayloadPart element
390 MUST contain a single PayloadPart element in which the PartName element has the
391 fixed content "businessdocument". It also MUST contain and a fixed
392 MIMEContentType element with fixed content "application/xml" and a fixed single
393 Property element with fixed name "EDIGASDocumentType", minimum and maximum
394 occurrence of "1" and a value attribute.

```
395 <cppa:PayloadProfile id="pp_ALU">
396   <cppa:PayloadPart maxOccurs="1" minOccurs="1">
397     <cppa:PartName>businessdocument</cppa:PartName>
398     <cppa:MIMEContentType>application/xml</cppa:MIMEContentType>
399     <cppa:Property maxOccurs="1" minOccurs="1" name="EDIGASDocumentType" value="ALU"/>
400   </cppa:PayloadPart>
401 </cppa:PayloadProfile>
```

402 The value of the value attribute MUST be set to the EDIG@S Document Type Code
403 specified for the exchange in the AS4 Mapping Table.

404 **5.5 ebMS3Channel**

405 For document based exchange, EU regulations [CR2009/715] specify that the common
406 solution is AS4. Therefore, all exchanges use the AS4 protocol. To configure AS4, which is a
407 profile of ebMS3, CPPA3 provides the ebMS3Channel element. This element provides
408 configurability for all ebMS3 features using sub-elements, including reliable messaging, WS-
409 Security, error handling etc. However, the ENTSOG AS4 Usage Profile [AS4UP] provides fixed
410 values for these features.

411 To support usage profiles, and to obviate the need of entering predictable and repetitive
412 values, CPPA3 provides a ChannelProfile element, the content of which is a mutually
413 understood identifier of a usage profile.

414 These implementation guidelines require that the ChannelProfile element MUST occur
415 and that its content MUST be set to "http://www.entsog.eu/AS4-USAGE-
416 PROFILE/v3/UserMessageChannel". This value is a URI identifier, which is used for

417 identification only. It does not resolve to a page on the ENTSOG site. The identifier identifies
418 the use of version 3 of the ENTSOG AS4 Usage Profile. Apart from this element, other child
419 elements MUST NOT be used.

420 Using the transport attribute, an `ebMS3Channel` references a transport. For AS4, this is
421 always an `HTTPTransport`. Since there are different transports for incoming and outgoing
422 messages, a CPPA3 document MUST include two `ebMS3Channel` elements, one for
423 incoming and one for outgoing messages. They have different `id` attribute values (so they
424 can be referenced unambiguously) and different `transport` attribute values (since they
425 reference distinct transports). Otherwise, there are no differences between the two
426 definitions.

```
427 <cppa:ebMS3Channel id="ch_send" transport="tr_send">  
428   <cppa:ChannelProfile  
429     >http://www.entsog.eu/AS4-USAGE-PROFILE/v3/UserMessageChannel</cppa:ChannelProfile>  
430 </cppa:ebMS3Channel>  
431 <cppa:ebMS3Channel id="ch_receive" transport="tr_receive">  
432   <cppa:ChannelProfile  
433     >http://www.entsog.eu/AS4-USAGE-PROFILE/v3/UserMessageChannel</cppa:ChannelProfile>  
434 </cppa:ebMS3Channel>  
435
```

436 Note that there also exist implicit other channels, in addition to these two. AS4 errors and
437 receipts use different channels, viz. the HTTP backchannel. These channels are considered
438 implied by the reference of the ENTSOG AS4 Usage profile using the `ChannelProfile`
439 element. For use in AS4 products these implicit channels, and the configuration of all
440 channels, may need to be made explicit. One way of doing that is to extend the CPPA3
441 document by adding the implied content, under the control of the `ChannelProfile`
442 value. The AS4-CPPA3 proof-of-concept [AS4CPOC] shows how this could be done in CPPA3,
443 using an open source CPPA3 library module.

444 **5.6 HTTPTransport**

445 These implementation guidelines REQUIRE that each CPPA3 document has two
446 `HTTPTransport` elements.

447 The first covers exchanges where the party specified in the `PartyInfo` element sends the
448 AS4 message, and is therefore using HTTP in client capacity. In a CPP, it MUST contain a
449 `ClientIPv4` and/or `ClientIPv6` child element that specifies the client IP addresses (or
450 address ranges) from which the transport will be initiated.

451 The second transport covers the case where it receives the AS4 message, and is therefore
452 using HTTP in server capacity. In a CPA, it MUST contain an `Endpoint` child element that
453 specifies the URL at which the message handler accepts incoming connections. It MAY
454 contain `ServerIPv4` and/or `ServerIPv6` child elements.

455 In a CPA, both HTTPTransport elements contain elements from both the party and the
456 counterparty, in either direction. They therefore MUST contain ClientIPv4 and/or
457 ClientIPv6 children elements and an Endpoint child element.

458 For example, in a CPP, these two HTTPTransport elements could look as follows:

```
459 <cppa:HTTPTransport id="tr_send">
460   <cppa:ClientIPv4>5.2.3.4</cppa:ClientIPv4>
461 </cppa:HTTPTransport>
462 <cppa:HTTPTransport id="tr_receive">
463   <cppa:Endpoint>https://tso5.eu/as4</cppa:Endpoint>
464 </cppa:HTTPTransport>
```

465 In a corresponding CPA example, these two HTTPTransport elements could look as
466 follows:

```
467 <cppa:HTTPTransport id="tr_send">
468   <cppa:ClientIPv4>5.2.3.4</cppa:ClientIPv4>
469   <cppa:Endpoint>https://tso1.eu/as4</cppa:Endpoint>
470 </cppa:HTTPTransport>
471 <cppa:HTTPTransport id="tr_receive">
472   <cppa:ClientIPv4>1.2.3.4</cppa:ClientIPv4>
473   <cppa:Endpoint>https://tso5.eu/as4</cppa:Endpoint>
474 </cppa:HTTPTransport>
```

475 Just as there was a lot of implicit information in an ebMS3Channel element, there is
476 information implicit in transport definitions. An example is that TLS is to be used in version
477 1.2.

478 **5.7 Delegation**

479 In principle, CPPA3 can represent delegation information using its DelegationChannel
480 element. A single CPP or CPA document can mix action bindings to ebMS3Channel and
481 action bindings using DelegationChannel. However, as noted in section 4.4, simpler
482 tabular formats may be of more practical use.

483

484 **6 EASEE-connect**

485 EASEE-connect [EASEE-CON] is a solution for the management and secure exchange of digital
486 parameters and identifiers prerequisite for engaging in an AS4/AS2 communication with
487 business partners in the European gas market. It can be viewed as an implementation of the
488 concepts presented in this document for the EASEE-gas community.

489 EASEE-connect is a digital platform developed by EASEE-gas whereby gas market participants
490 can create and manage their AS4 and AS2 company profiles and portfolio of business
491 connections in a simple and secure way. The platform provides a single repository of
492 technical information, contact details and AS4/AS2 settings that gas market participants
493 need to exchange with each other in order to establish a secure communication channel. By

494 accessing only one platform, gas companies can both manage their data and pull the data of
495 their partners.

496 EASEE-connect replaces the mail preparation and handling associated with traditional
497 business communication and the poorly updated and incomplete spreadsheets currently
498 used in the sector to manage this type of data. Furthermore, EASEE-connect meets
499 demanding security requirements.

500 By using EASEE-connect, gas companies have access to an automated profile management
501 system that helps them increase efficiency and quality of information, save time and money,
502 and avoid mistakes and security risks.

503 **7 Revision History**

Revision	Date	Editor	Changes Made
wd1	2017-09-14	PvdE	First Draft for discussion
wd2	2017-10-05	PvdE	Intermediate version for internal review
wd3	2017-10-10	PvdE, JM	Editorial fixes added back in
Version 0 Rev_0	2017-12-12	JM	Created version for publication
wd4	2020-09-29	PvdE	Draft for ITC KG; updates: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CPPA3 is standardized; • EASEE-Connect is in operation; • links updated.
Version 0 Rev_1	2020-XX-YY		

504 **8 References**

- 505 [AS4] AS4 Profile of ebMS 3.0 Version 1.0. OASIS Standard, 23 January 2013.
506 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ebxml-msg/ebms/v3.0/profiles/AS4-profile/v1.0/>
- 507 [AS4AGR] ENTSOG AS4 Agreements and Agreement Updates. Revision 1. 2017-01-09.
508 [https://entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-exchange-nc#as4-supporting-](https://entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-exchange-nc#as4-supporting-documents)
509 [documents](https://entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-exchange-nc#as4-supporting-documents)
- 510 [AS4CPOC] ENTSOG AS4 Automated Configuration Proof of Concept.
511 https://bitbucket.org/ebcore/as4_mgmt_poc
- 512 [AS4UP] ENTSOG AS4 Profile. Current Version 3 Revision 6, 2019-05-06.
513 [https://entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-exchange-nc#as4-documents-for-](https://entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-exchange-nc#as4-documents-for-implementation)
514 [implementation](https://entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-exchange-nc#as4-documents-for-implementation)
- 515 [AS4MAP] ENTSOG Service/Action table [https://entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-](https://entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-exchange-nc#as4-documents-for-implementation)
516 [exchange-nc#as4-documents-for-](https://entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-exchange-nc#as4-documents-for-implementation)
517 [implementationhttps://www.entsog.eu/publications/common-data-exchange-](https://www.entsog.eu/publications/common-data-exchange-solutions)
518 [solutions](https://www.entsog.eu/publications/common-data-exchange-solutions).
- 519 [CPPA3SPEC] Collaboration Protocol Profile and Agreement Version 3.0. OASIS Committee
520 Specification. <https://docs.oasis-open.org/ebcore/cppa/v3.0/>
- 521 [CPPA3XSD] Collaboration Protocol Profile and Agreement Version 3.0. OASIS Committee
522 Specification. XML Schema. [https://docs.oasis-](https://docs.oasis-open.org/ebcore/cppa/v3.0/cs01/schema/)
523 [open.org/ebcore/cppa/v3.0/cs01/schema/](https://docs.oasis-open.org/ebcore/cppa/v3.0/cs01/schema/)
- 524 [CR2009/715]] REGULATION (EC) No 715/2009 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE
525 COUNCIL of 13 July 2009 on conditions for access to the natural gas
526 transmission networks and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1775/2005.
527 <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX%3A32009R0715>
- 528 [CR2015/703] COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) 2015/703 of 30 April 2015 establishing a
529 network code on interoperability and data exchange rules.
530 <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32015R0703>
- 531 [EASEE-CON] EASEE-Connect <https://easee-gas.eu/easee-connect>
- 532 [EDIG@S] EASEE-gas EDIG@S. Version 5.1. <https://www.edigas.org/version-5/>
- 533 [EIC] ENTSOG Approved EIC Party Codes.
534 <https://www.entsog.eu/approved-codes#all-approved-eic-codes>
- 535 [HOWTO] Setting up an AS4 System. Version 3. 2019-05-15.
536 [https://www.entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-exchange-nc#as4-](https://www.entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-exchange-nc#as4-supporting-documents)
537 [supporting-documents](https://www.entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-exchange-nc#as4-supporting-documents)

- 538 [WSUP] ENTSOG Integrated Data Exchange Usage Profile. Current Version 0 Revision 0.
539 2017-03-28. <https://www.entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-exchange-nc#integrated-data-exchange-usage-profile>
540
- 541 [INTUP] ENTSOG Interactive Profile. Current Version 0 Revision 0.
542 [https://www.entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-exchange-nc#interactive-](https://www.entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-exchange-nc#interactive-data-exchange-usage-profile)
543 [data-exchange-usage-profile](https://www.entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-exchange-nc#interactive-data-exchange-usage-profile)
- 544 [CDEST] ENTSOG Common Data Exchange Solution Table.
545 [https://www.entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-exchange-nc#common-](https://www.entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-exchange-nc#common-network-operation-tools)
546 [network-operation-tools](https://www.entsog.eu/interoperability-and-data-exchange-nc#common-network-operation-tools)