Participants are expected to fill the survey **online**.

This document has the only purpose to support them since the online survey doesn't offer the possibility to 'save halfway' and continue later

**Note: the questions number starts from Q10 in order to correspond to the one in the questionnaire.**

**Question 10:** Do you have further proposals for simplification of the CBA methodology document (please elaborate)?

**Question 11:** Do you agree that the approach of using the TYNDP assessment of infrastructure needs which is performed for each new TYNDP edition to set the frame for the Project-specific assessment would ensure a focused and pragmatic approach (please elaborate)?

**Question 12:** Would you see some indicators as having limited additional value for CBA analysis? Which ones and for which reason (please elaborate)?

**Question 13:** Would you agree on the relevance of the ADVANCED infrastructure level (please elaborate)?

**Question 14:** Do you agree with the proposal that the updated CBA methodology should discard the HIGH infrastructure level (please elaborate)?

**Question 15:** Do you think the CBA methodology should keep considering the PCI infrastructure level for the TYNDP assessment (please elaborate)?

**Question 16:** Do you support that CBA methodology would include guidance on project grouping (please elaborate)?

**Question 17:** Would you have any view on criteria to be retained for grouping (please elaborate)?

**Question 18:** Do you support the proposal of a Project Fiche template (in terms of content, please refer to the version for the project fiche as defined for the 3rd PCI selection process and available at the following [link](https://www.entsog.eu/public/uploads/files/publications/CBA/2017/170510_Supporting_document_CBA_PC.pdf)) (please elaborate)?

**Question 19:** Based on the example provided, is there any additional information the project fiche should cover (please elaborate)?

**Question 20:** Do you support that application of CBA to TYNDP covers performance of PS-CBA (please elaborate)?

**Question 21:** Do you agree with the publication of PS-CBA results and relevant project information in the TYNDP through a Project Fiche (please elaborate)?

**Question 22:** Do you agree that the Project Fiche scope identified by ENTSOG should have PS-CBA results published (only for projects confirming their previous application for the PCI label as described at page 11 of the supporting document) (please elaborate)?

**Question 23:** Do you have any comments on the PS-CBA elements proposed for publication as part of TYNDP (please elaborate)?

**Question 24:** Do you agree that the ESW CBA methodology should maintain a Multi-Criteria Analysis (MCA) approach where the monetary analysis is complemented by non-monetary and qualitative assessment (please elaborate)?

**Question 25:** What are your views on the current European-wide approach for security of supply (SoS) monetisation followed by ENTSOG (please elaborate)?

**Question 26:** Would you see benefits in considering a more “country/consumer-based” approach instead of the above mentioned European-wide approach, and if yes, please precise how (please elaborate)?

**Question 27:** Is there any data source that ENTSOG could consider using for Value of Lost Load (VoLL) and security of supply (SoS) monetisation in the updated CBA methodology and if yes, which ones (please elaborate)?

**Question 28:** Do you agree with ENTSOG’s view that a specific monetisation of CO2 emissions should be done when the capacity brought by projects can be clearly linked to an increase in gas consumption and a consequent reduction of CO2 emissions (please elaborate)?

**Question 29:** Would you have any suggestion on how to better measure CO2 reductions in mature markets in relation to existing and/or new infrastructure (please elaborate)?

**Question 30:** Do you support monetisation of CO2 reduction to be based on a Social Cost of Carbon (SCC) rather than on the CO2 market prices (please elaborate)?

**Question 31:** [If YES in the above question] Would you recommend any specific information source on Social Cost of Carbon (SCC) (please elaborate)?

**Question 32:** Would you have any specific suggestion on a methodology or proxy for the monetisation of the supply source diversification assessment (please elaborate)?

**Question 33:** Is there any other element or CBA indicator for which you would have monetisation suggestions (please elaborate)?

**Question 34:** Do you have any specific view regarding whether and how market modelling is relevant in the framework of infrastructure assessment (please elaborate)?

**Question 35:** Could you indicate any source for input data required for the implementation of a market model (such as tariffs, supply prices, etc.) (please elaborate)?

**Question 36:** Would you have any specific views regarding information sources for import prices for the various supply sources and regarding the minimum volumes used to assess market behaviour (please elaborate)?

**Question 37:** How do you think that import price spread configuration could be further improved (please elaborate)?

**Question 38:** Consistently with your reply to question 27, what should be the information source for the different supply source prices (please elaborate)?

**Question 39:** How do you think that LNG diversification could be further improved (please elaborate)?

**Question 40:** Do you agree that CBA methodology as proposed would support promoters by providing them with a common input framework to be used (e.g. demand scenarios) and outputs indicating detailed benefits at country-level as input to promoters` own project-specific CBAs (please elaborate)?

**Question 41:** Do you have any additional comment or suggestion that has not been covered in previous questions (please elaborate)?